

1 **DRAFT**

2 **A Security Incident Response Trust Framework for**  
3 **Federated Identity (Sirtfi)**

4 **Version 2**

5

6

7

8 **Abstract**

9 This document identifies practises and attributes of organisations that may facilitate their  
10 participation in a trust framework called Sirtfi whose purpose is to enable coordination of  
11 security incident response across federated organisations.

12

13

14 **Audience**

15 This document is intended for use by the personnel responsible for operational security of  
16 federated entities such as Identity Providers, Service Providers and Attribute Authorities, and  
17 by Federation Operators who may facilitate its adoption by their member organisations.

18

19

20 Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 4.0

21

22 Other Sources / Attribution / Acknowledgements: An earlier version of this work, "A Security  
23 Incident Response Trust Framework for Federated Identity (Sirtfi)", is a derivative of "A Trust  
24 Framework for Security Collaboration Among Infrastructures" by D. Kelsey, K. Chadwick, I.  
25 Gaines, D. Groep, U. Kaila, C. Kanellopoulos, J. Marsteller, R. Niederberger, V. Ribailier, R.  
26 Wartel, W. Weisz and J. Wolfrat, used under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0.

27

28

## 29 Introduction

30 This section is informative.

31

32 Trust federations, which provide foundation services that enable authentication and  
33 authorisation systems to extend across organisational boundaries, are operated within many  
34 nations in support of their Research and Education (R&E) sectors and others. This capability  
35 allows Service Provider (SP) organisations to extend access rights to their resources to users  
36 whose credentials are managed by Identity Provider (IdP) organisations. Thousands of  
37 organisations around the world trust R&E federations with the operation of these foundation  
38 services, and their number continues to grow.

39

40 While extremely valuable for large scale collaboration that is a characteristic of R&E activities,  
41 this approach also exposes a new vector of attack on SP resources. Since one user credential  
42 may have access to SPs at multiple organisations, federation presents a way to leverage a  
43 compromise at one organisation into an attack on others. The global scale of the overall  
44 federated access management system also poses a new challenge to the ability to respond to  
45 security incidents. How can one organisation know how, or even whether, to contact another to  
46 coordinate response to a security incident, and why should they trust each other in doing so?

47

48 Sirtfi is a means to enable a coordinated response to a security incident in a federated context  
49 that does not depend on a centralised authority or governance structure to assign roles and  
50 responsibilities for doing so. Its intent is threefold:

51

- 52 1. Enable communication and coordination in managing federated security incidents.
- 53 2. A reasonable collection of pertinent event data is available to help collaborating incident  
54 responders.
- 55 3. At least minimal security protections are applied to information systems that directly  
56 handle federated transactions.

57

58 The Normative Assertions for Federated Entity Operators section below defines a set of criteria  
59 that support these objectives to which an organisation operating a federated entity self-attests  
60 their conformance. This self-attestation is recorded in the federation metadata of associated  
61 entities, as specified below in the section entitled Sirtfi v2 Identity Assurance Certification  
62 Description for Federation Operators, enabling other parties to make contact in connection with  
63 a federated security incident, and to signal that basic security of those federated entities is  
64 attended to by their operators.

65

66 Members of the R&E community have a long-standing tradition of strong international  
67 collaboration, and R&E federations embody trust as their main value. Federated entities trust  
68 each other based on the policies and procedures of R&E federations and of their member  
69 organisations. Sirtfi defines a way to extend that fabric of trust to the management of federated  
70 security incidents. It does not require any form of external audit or review to support a self-  
71 attestation of conformance.

72

73 An FAQ for Sirtfi has been made available to support deployment [FAQ].

74

75 The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD",  
76 "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be  
77 interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

78

79

80

## 81 Normative Assertions for Federated Entity Operators

82 This section is normative.

83

84 In this section we define a set of assertions that each organisation shall self-attest to so that  
85 they may participate in Sirtfi. These are divided into four areas: operational security, incident  
86 response, traceability and user rules and conditions.

87

88 An attestation to the assertions in this document refers specifically and only to the statements  
89 in this section that are identified by labels within square brackets “[”, “]”.

90

91 How thoroughly each asserted capability should be implemented across the organisation’s  
92 information system assets, either directly by the organisation or by third parties responsible for  
93 their operation, is not specified. Care should be focused on information system elements that  
94 directly handle federated transactions; however, the investment in mitigating a risk should be  
95 commensurate with the degree of its potential impact and the likelihood of its occurrence, and  
96 this determination can only be made within each organisation.

97

## 98 **Operational Security [OS]**

99 Managing access to information resources, maintaining their availability and integrity, and  
100 maintaining confidentiality of sensitive information is the goal of operational security.

101

102 ● [OS1] Security patches in operating system and application software are applied in a  
103 timely manner.

104 ● [OS2] A process is used to manage vulnerabilities—in software operated by the  
105 organisation.

106 ● [OS3] Means are implemented to detect and act on possible intrusions using threat  
107 intelligence information in a timely manner.

108 ● [OS4] A user’s access rights can be suspended, modified or terminated in a timely  
109 manner.

110 ● [OS5] Users and Service Owners (as defined by ITIL) within the organisation can be  
111 contacted.

112 ● [OS6] A security incident response capability exists within the organisation with  
113 sufficient authority to mitigate, contain the spread of, and remediate the effects of a  
114 security incident.

115

## 116 **Incident Response [IR]**

117 Assertion [OS6] above posits that a security incident response capability exists within the  
118 organisation. This section’s assertions describe its interactions with other organisations  
119 participating in Sirtfi. They are intended to augment but not supersede local procedures when  
120 an incident may extend beyond the organisation.

121

122 Communications with other federation members may be conducted in English or may be  
123 conducted in another language as appropriate to those members.

124

125 ● [IR1] Provide security incident response contact information as may be requested by  
126 any federation to which your organisation belongs.

127 ● [IR2] Respond to requests for assistance with a security incident from other  
128 organisations participating in Sirtfi in a timely manner.

129 ● [IR3] Notify security contacts of entities participating in Sirtfi when a security incident  
130 investigation suggests that those entities are involved in the incident. Notification  
131 should also follow the security procedures of any federations to which your  
132 organisation belongs.

- 133           • [IR4] Be able and willing to collaborate in the management of a security incident with  
134           affected organisations that participate in Sirtfi.  
135           • [IR5] Respect user privacy as determined by the organisation's policies or legal  
136           counsel.  
137           • [IR6] Respect the Traffic Light Protocol [TLP] information disclosure policy and use it  
138           during incident response communications with federation participants.  
139

## 140 **Traceability [TR]**

141           To be able to answer the basic questions "who, what, where, and when" concerning a security  
142           incident requires retaining relevant system generated information, including accurate  
143           timestamps and identifiers of system components and actors, for a period of time.  
144

- 145           • [TR1] Relevant system generated information, including accurate timestamps and  
146           identifiers of system components and actors, are retained and available for use in  
147           security incident response procedures.  
148           • [TR2] Information attested to in [TR1] is retained in conformance with the  
149           organisation's security incident response policy or practices.  
150

## 151 **User Rules and Conditions [UR]**

152           Identity Providers and Service Providers (participants) have a responsibility to notify users that  
153           their access may be controlled following unauthorised use, such as during a security incident.  
154           The definition of authorised use may be communicated to the user via an Acceptable Usage  
155           policy, terms and conditions, contract or other agreement. This may be done directly between  
156           the participant and the user, or between a third party and the user in the case that operation of  
157           a system has been delegated.  
158

- 159           • [UR1] The participant has defined rules and conditions of use.  
160           • [UR2] There is a process to notify all users of these rules and conditions of use.  
161  
162

## 163 **Sirtfi Identity Assurance Certification Description for** 164 **Federation Operators**

165 This section is informative.

166

167 Research and Education Federations are invited to use Sirtfi (the Security Incident Response  
168 Trust Framework for Federated Identity) with their members to facilitate incident response  
169 Collaboration.

170

171 Sirtfi adherence is registered in an entity's metadata as a SAML Identity Assurance Certification  
172 Entity Attribute and a REFEDS Security Contact. An implementation guide has been made  
173 available [GUIDE].

174

175 This definition is written in compliance with the REFEDS Security Contact Metadata Schema  
176 Extension [CONTACT] and OASIS Identity Assurance Certification [OASIS].

177

### 178 **Definition**

179 This section is normative.

180

181 Any federated entity is a potential candidate for Sirtfi certification. To be declared Sirtfi  
182 compliant, an entity **MUST** support every assertion in the Normative Assertions for Federated  
183 Entity Operators section above (herein the Sirtfi v2 Assertions). A registrar **SHOULD** add the  
184 assurance entity attribute defined below to the relevant entity descriptor when the party that  
185 operates the entity declares compliance with the Sirtfi v2 Assertions. A registrar **MAY** also do  
186 this on behalf of the party that operates the entity without their explicit request, but only when  
187 the registrar has specific knowledge that the party is already subject to policy that encompasses  
188 the Sirtfi v2 Assertions.

189

190 To support federated incident response, a security contact **MUST** be added to an entity's  
191 metadata in conjunction with the entity attribute declaration. A security contact from outside the  
192 entity's organisation **MAY** be used.

193

### 194 **Syntax**

195 This section is normative.

196

197 The following URI is used as the attribute value for the Sirtfi (v2) Identity Assurance Certification  
198 Entity Attribute (herein the Sirtfi v2 Attribute): <https://refeds.org/sirtfi2>

199

200 The following URI continues to be used as the attribute value for the original Sirtfi (v1) Identity  
201 Assurance Certification Entity Attribute (herein the Sirtfi v1 Attribute): <https://refeds.org/sirtfi>

202

203 The presence of the Sirtfi v2 Attribute indicates that an entity claims to support the Sirtfi v2  
204 Assertions. The Sirtfi v2 Attribute **MUST NOT** be applied to an entity unless that entity is known  
205 to conform to the Sirtfi v2 Assertions, via self-assertion or adherence to an equally or more  
206 restrictive policy. This constitutes an extension of the OASIS SAML V2.0 Identity Assurance  
207 Profiles, Version 1.0 [OASIS], which was scoped to describe use of the attribute by Identity  
208 Providers only.

209

210 Because compliance with Sirtfi v2 Assertions implies compliance with the assertions of Sirtfi v1  
211 [SIRTFIV1], an entity's registrar **SHALL** ensure that the Sirtfi v1 Attribute is also included in the  
212 entity's metadata when the Sirtfi v2 Attribute is present.

213

214 The presence of the Sirtfi v1 Attribute indicates that an entity claims to support the Sirtfi v1  
215 assertions. The Sirtfi v1 Attribute **MUST NOT** be applied to an entity unless that entity is known

216 to conform to the Sirtfi v1 assertions, via self-assertion or adherence to an equally or more  
217 restrictive policy. Other semantics SHOULD NOT be inferred from the absence or presence of  
218 the Sirtfi v1 Attribute.  
219

## 220 **Registration Criteria**

221 This section is normative.

222  
223 Before an entity's registrar adds the Sirtfi v2 Attribute to that entity's metadata, the registrar  
224 MUST perform the following checks:

- 225
- 226 • The entity claims to have passed a self-assessment of the Sirtfi v2 Assertions or is  
227 known to be subject to a policy that encompasses all the requirements of the Sirtfi v2  
228 framework.
- 229 • A security contact has been provided for the entity, and this contact is published in the  
230 entity's metadata in accordance with the REFEDS Security Contact Metadata Schema  
231 Extension [CONTACT]

232  
233 Before an entity's registrar adds the Sirtfi v1 Attribute to that entity's metadata, the registrar  
234 MUST perform the following checks:

- 235
- 236 • The entity claims to have passed a self-assessment of the Sirtfi v1 Assertions or is  
237 known to be subject to a policy that encompasses all the requirements of the Sirtfi v1  
238 framework.
- 239 • A security contact has been provided for the entity, and this contact is published in the  
240 entity's metadata in accordance with the REFEDS Security Contact Metadata Schema  
241 Extension [CONTACT]

242

## 243 **Removal Criteria**

244 This section is normative.

245

246 If an entity can no longer comply with the Sirtfi v2 Assertions, the Sirtfi v2 Attribute MUST be  
247 removed from its entity descriptor. The registrar SHOULD consider the Sirtfi v2 Attribute in  
248 scope of any of their policies that regulate the validity of published metadata.

249

250 If an entity can no longer comply with the Sirtfi v1 Assertions, the Sirtfi v1 Attribute MUST be  
251 removed from its entity descriptor. In this case, the Sirtfi v2 Attribute, if present in the entity  
252 descriptor, MUST also be removed. The registrar SHOULD consider the Sirtfi v1 Attribute in  
253 scope of any of their policies that regulate the validity of published metadata.

254

## 255 **Periodic Renewal**

256 This section is normative.

257

258 Sirtfi describes a baseline of best practices in security. It is expected that once an entity is Sirtfi  
259 compliant, they will remain so. As such, registrars are not required to implement periodic  
260 renewal from their participants.

261

## 262 **Security Contact**

263 This section is normative.

264

265 The entity operator, or party providing incident response support on behalf of the entity, MUST:

266

- 267 • Provide a security contact [CONTACT] containing:

- 268 ○ Name, included as a GivenName element (this MAY be the name of a service
- 269 function, such as “Security Operations”)
- 270 ○ Email, included as an EmailAddress element
- 271 ○ OPTIONAL additional fields from the SAML Standard for contactPerson
- 272 [SCHEMA]
- 273 ● Ensure that communication sent to the security contact is not publicly archived.
- 274 ● If the entity removes the security contact [CONTACT] from metadata, it MUST also
- 275 remove the corresponding Sirtfi Attribute

276  
 277 The registrar MAY perform, or facilitate, a periodic check for responsiveness of the security  
 278 contact.  
 279

## 280 Examples

281 This section is informative.

282  
 283 Example Security Contact (as per [CONTACT]):

```
284 <ContactPerson xmlns:remd="https://refeds.org/metadata"
285   contactType="other"
286   remd:contactType="http://refeds.org/metadata/contactType/security">
287   <GivenName>Security Response Team</GivenName>
288   <EmailAddress>mailto:security@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx</EmailAddress>
289 </ContactPerson>
```

291  
 292 Example Assurance Certification:

```
293 <EntityDescriptor ...>
294 <Extensions>
295   <attr:EntityAttributes>
296     ...
297     <saml:Attribute NameFormat="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-
298       format:uri"
299       Name="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:attribute:assurance-certification">
300       <saml:AttributeValue>https://refeds.org/sirtfi2
301       </saml:AttributeValue>
302       <saml:AttributeValue>https://refeds.org/sirtfi
303       </saml:AttributeValue>
304     </saml:Attribute>
305     ...
306   </attr:EntityAttributes>
307 </Extensions>
308 ...
309 </EntityDescriptor>
```

## 312 References

- 313 [RFC2119] “Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels”,
- 314 <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2119>
- 315
- 316 [CONTACT] “Security Contact Metadata Extension Schema”,
- 317 <https://refeds.org/metadata/contactType/security>.
- 318
- 319 [FAQ] “Sirtfi FAQs”, <https://refeds.org/sirtfi/sirtfi-faqs>.
- 320
- 321 [GUIDE] “Sirtfi Home”, <https://wiki.refeds.org/display/SIRTFI/SIRTFI+Home>.
- 322
- 323 [ITIL] Axelos ITIL Glossary of Terms, <https://www.axelos.com/glossaries-of-terms>

324

325 [OASIS] SAML V2.0 Identity Assurance Profiles Version 1.0: <https://wiki.oasisopen.org/security/SAML2IDAssuranceProfile>.

326

327 [SCHEMA] <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf>.

328

329 [SIRTFIv1] "A Security Incident Response Trust Framework for Federated Identity (Sirtfi)",  
330 <https://refeds.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Sirtfi-1.0.pdf>

331

332 [TLP] Traffic Light Protocol, <https://www.first.org/tlp/>

333

334