REFEDS Multi-Factor Authentication Profile

2

4

3 **Version History:** V1.1 (clarification of MFA Profile V1.0: https://refeds.org/profile/mfa)

Status: working draft - REFEDS Community Chat

5

6

1. Introduction

- 7 This section is informative.
- 8 The REFEDS Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) Profile defines a standard signal to request
- 9 MFA and to respond to such a request in a federated authentication transaction.
- 10 The REFEDS MFA Profile also outlines requirements that an authentication event must meet
- in order to communicate the usage of MFA. These requirements convey a higher quality of
- 12 authentication than ordinary password authentication (i.e., the authentication is sufficiently
- secure and trustworthy such that the subject can be strongly associated with the information
- 14 presented about them). While specific methods of authentication are a factor in this
- 15 calculation, the REFEDS MFA Profile does not precisely specify or constrain the exact
- 16 methods used.
- 17 This profile does not encompass all forms of "higher quality" authentication and in fact some
- 18 technologies that may be deemed high (or even higher than MFA) are not included in this
- 19 profile.

30

- 20 A service provider (SP) relying on a federated identity provider (IdP) to perform user
- 21 authentication uses the signal defined within this Profile to request MFA from an IdP. If MFA
- 22 is successful, the IdP sends the corresponding signal in its response to indicate that MFA
- 23 have successfully occurred.
- 24 This Profile offers two messaging protocol bindings: for SAML 2.0 and for OpenID Connect.

25 Relationship to other assurance related issues

- 26 It should be noted that there are other assurance related issues, such as identity proofing
- 27 and registration, that may be of concern to SPs when authenticating users. This Profile does
- 28 not establish any requirements for these other areas; these additional assurance issues may
- 29 be addressed by other REFEDS profiles [REFEDS].

Relationship to institution-specific MFA signalling needs

- 31 This Profile is specifically applicable when a service provider supports the use of identity
- 32 providers outside of its own organisational control and specifically requires the semantics
- described in Section 4.

- 34 Deployments of this Profile must adhere strictly to its requirements and cannot override them
- 35 with local policy requirements. Because this Profile cannot anticipate unique organisational
- 36 authentication practices and nuances, it is strongly recommended not to use the value
- 37 defined in this Profile to meet intra-organizational MFA request/response needs.

2. Terms and Definitions

39 This section is normative.

38

Term	Definition
federated login	An authentication exchange in which the identity provider and service provider belong to different organisations or administrative domains.
identity provider (IdP/OP)	A party in a federated login exchange that authenticates the subject and asserts information about the subject and the authentication event.
	In OIDC, this component is synonymous with OpenID Provider (OP).
service provider (SP/RP)	A party in a federated login exchange that requests authentication of a subject by an identity provider and receives an assertion or token vouching for the authentication.
	In OIDC, this component is synonymous with Relying Party (RP) or Client.
Multi-factor authentication (MFA)	Multifactor refers to the use of an additional, non-password challenge included as part of login, typically in combination with a password.
bearer cookie	An HTTP cookie whose presentation by a user agent is considered valid without additional cryptographic proof.
Authentication Context Class Reference	An XML element in SAML 2.0 that identifies a type of authentication by means of a URI reference.
acr	A claim in OpenID Connect that identifies a type of authentication by means of a string or URI reference.

- 41 The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD
- 42 NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as
- 43 described in [RFC2119].

44 3. Profile Identifier

- 45 This section is normative.
- The use of this profile is identified by the following URI:
- 47 https://refeds.org/profile/mfa
- The use of this value in specific identity protocols is defined in later sections of this
- document. When used, it signals a requirement for, or the use of, an authentication
- approach that satisfies the requirements of Section 4 of this document.
- 51 This Profile revision clarifies the behaviour expected in the original REFEDS MFA Profile.
- 52 Future versions of this profile may introduce additional identifiers reflecting different
- requirements, but the meaning of this identifier will not change in the future.

4. Authentication Requirements

55 This section is normative.

54

- When signalling MFA using the REFEDS MFA Profile, the IdP is claiming that the user has
- 57 successfully signed in using a combination of authentication factors sufficient to qualify the
- user to access the organisation's critical internal systems.

59 4.1 Multiple Factors

- 60 The authentication of the user's current session MUST use a combination of at least two of
- the four distinct types of factors, that is something an entity has (e.g. a hardware device
- 62 containing a credential), something an entity knows (e.g. password), something an entity is
- 63 (e.g. biometric), something an entity does (e.g. behavioural).

4.2 Factor Independence

- 65 The factors used MUST be independent; this includes processes to recover, replace, or add
- 66 additional authentication factors.
- The combination of the factors MUST mitigate risks related to attacks such as phishing,
- 68 offline cracking, online guessing and theft of a (single) factor. Protection against active man
- in the middle attacks is out of scope of this Profile.
- 70 **Guidance:** Independence means that access to one factor does not by itself grant
- access to or allow the replacement of the other factor. For example, possession of a
- 72 Single-Factor device by itself may not by itself be used to perform a reset of a "first
- factor" password or the other way around. Another precluded example is where the

user's "first factor" password grants access to a virtual telecom device that receives callbacks or SMS OTPs that act as the "second factor", allowing registration of additional devices without the use of MFA.

4.3 Validity Lifetime

77

82

87

88

89

- 78 The authentication challenges for all factors MUST have occurred no more than 12 hours
- 79 before the issuance of an authentication assertion or token. A bearer cookie MAY be
- accepted for reuse of a previously performed authentication challenge (of one or all factors)
- 81 occurring within the 12 hour window.

4.4 Failure Modes

- An IdP MUST NOT signal the use of MFA in the protocol-specific ways outlined in Section 5
- unless it was actually performed in accordance with the previous requirements in Section 4.
- This includes cases in which security policy allows for the bypass or omission of one or more
- 86 factors for local reasons (e.g., failing "open" for reliability of local services).

Guidance: As discussed in the introduction, this is a key reason why the use of this profile should be discouraged for internal use cases, so as to permit such policies if desired.

90 5. Protocol Specific Bindings

91 5.1 SAML 2.0 Binding

92 5.1.1 REFEDS MFA Profile Authentication Context Class Reference

- 93 This section is normative.
- 94 In SAML 2.0, signalling authentication requirements and outcome is accomplished via the
- 95 Authentication Context feature of the standard [SAMLAuthnContext]. Specifically, the
- 96 <AuthnContextClassRef> element carries a URI referencing how authentication must
- 97 be, or was, performed.
- 98 The REFEDS MFA Profile defines the identifier https://refeds.org/profile/mfa as
- 99 its Authentication Context Class Reference value.
- 100 When this value is used (listed/presented) in the <RequestedAuthnContext> element in
- an SP's request (Section 3.4.1 of [SAMLCore]), the SP indicates a requirement that the IdP
- MUST authenticate the subject in accordance with the requirements in Section 4.
- 103 When this value is used (listed/presented) in the <AuthnContext> element in an IdP
- assertion (Section 2.7.2 of [SAMLCore]), the IdP asserts that the subject was authenticated
- in accordance with the requirements in Section 4.
- 106 The remainder of Section 5.1 provides additional implementation guidance when using this
- 107 Profile with SAML 2.0. This guidance shall not be interpreted to imply behaviours that are
- 108 contrary to the SAML 2.0 standard.

5.1.2 Signalling Time of Authentication

- 110 This section is normative.
- 111 An IdP responding with the REFEDS MFA Profile context class reference MUST set
- 112 AuthnInstant (Section 2.7.2 of [SAMLCore]) to the time at which the user was
- authenticated with any of the factors used to satisfy the MFA requirements. The IdP has
- discretion to determine which factor's authentication time to use to set the AuthnInstant.

115 **5.1.3 SP Considerations**

116 This section is informative.

117 5.1.3.1 AuthnContextClassRef Usage

- 118 The most reliable way for an SP to signal requirement of REFEDS MFA is to include only
- one <AuthnContextClassRef> element (containing the REFEDS MFA Profile
- 120 Authentication Context Class Reference value).

121 **Background:** A SAML request may contain more than one

122 <AuthnContextClassRef> element. When an SP sends a request containing

multiple <AuthnContextClassRef> elements it is signalling that it will accept any

of the requested authentication types. An IdP may satisfy any one of the requested

authentication methods; it need not satisfy all of them. SAML also allows the request

to contain no <AuthnContextClassRef> values, which allows the IdP to

authenticate the subject using any authentication method it chooses.

128 5.1.3.2 RequestedAuthnContext Comparison

- 129 The SAML specification allows the Comparison XML Attribute in the
- 130 <RequestedAuthnContext> element, when present, may be set to values other than the
- default value of "exact". However, the use of other values requires a shared
- understanding of the relationship between <AuthnContextClassRef> values that is
- beyond the scope of this Profile and is therefore not recommended.

134 **5.1.3.3 ForceAuthn**

124

125

- 135 ForceAuthn should not be used to elicit the use of REFEDS MFA.
- 136 ForceAuthn is also underspecified and non-interoperable when combined with modern
- authentication techniques that combine independent factors, so should be avoided in
- 138 conjunction with this Profile.

5.1.3.4 Error Handling

- 140 Finally, an SP must always be prepared to handle a SAML response that contains an error
- status rather than an assertion (see third example in Section 5.1.4 for SAML response
- indicating failure). This is particularly true when making use of the
- 143 <RequestedAuthnContext> element, as the standard mandates that an IdP unable to
- satisfy the requirements expressed return an error if it responds.

- 145 In addition, some exception conditions may prevent an IdP from being able to issue a
- response at all, so the user agent may be left interacting with an error response from the
- 147 IdP.

158

159

178

179

180

148 **5.1.4 Examples**

- 149 This section is informative.
- 150 An SP issuing a request requiring use of this profile:

An edited response indicating the use of this profile:

```
160
      <samlp:Response xmlns:samlp="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:protocol"</pre>
161
                       xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion"
162
163
164
        <samlp:Status>
165
          <samlp:StatusCode Value="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:Success"/>
166
        </samlp:Status>
167
        <saml:Assertion>
168
          <saml:AuthnStatement ...>
169
            <saml:AuthnContext>
170
              <saml:AuthnContextClassRef>
171
                https://refeds.org/profile/mfa
172
              </saml:AuthnContextClassRef>
173
            </saml:AuthnContext>
174
          </saml:AuthnStatement>
175
        </saml:Assertion>
176
177
      </samlp:Response>
```

An edited response indicating the IdP was unable to authenticate the subject using this profile:

5.2 OIDC 1.0 Binding

194 5.2.1 REFEDS MFA Profile acr Claim

- 195 This section is normative.
- 196 In OpenID Connect [OIDC], signalling authentication requirements and use is accomplished
- 197 with the acr claim, which stands for Authentication Context Reference, and was modelled
- after the similarly-named SAML 2.0 feature (see Section 5.1.1 above). As with SAML, use of
- 199 URIs is a recommended practice.
- 200 This profile defines the identifier https://refeds.org/profile/mfa as an acr claim
- 201 value.

192

193

- This value may be used as a requested claim in an RP's request (Section 5.5 of [OIDC]) or
- as a claim value in an OP's ID token (Section 2 of [OIDC]).
- 204 An RP that requests this claim value is indicating a requirement that the subject be
- authenticated in accordance with the requirements in Section 4. The claims parameter can
- be sent as an explicit HTTP request parameter or as a claim within a JWT-formatted request
- 207 object. The former is URL-encoded as a form parameter while the latter is serialised as a
- 208 JWT [RFC7519].
- 209 The use of the acr values parameter MUST NOT be used for this purpose, because it
- 210 signals a non-essential or voluntary claim requirement, and cannot cause the OP to enforce
- 211 the use of the Profile.
- 212 An OP that asserts this claim value is indicating that the subject was authenticated in
- accordance with the requirements in Section 4.
- The use of the amr claim is unspecified by this profile. It may be used to signal finer-grained
- 215 details about how authentication was performed.
- None of the remaining material in Section 5.2 should be interpreted to imply behaviour that is
- 217 contrary to the OIDC specification.

218 5.2.2 Signalling Time of Authentication

219 This section is normative.

- 220 An OP responding with the REFEDS MFA Profile acr claim value MUST set the
- 221 auth time claim (if including it) to the time at which the user was authenticated with any of
- the factors used to satisfy the MFA requirements. The OP has discretion to determine which
- 223 factor's authentication time to use.

5.2.3 Additional RP Guidance

225 This section is informative.

226 **5.2.3.1** acr **Usage**

224

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

The most reliable way for an RP to signal requirement of REFEDS MFA is to include only one acr requested claim value (containing the REFEDS MFA Profile value).

229 | **Background:** An OpenID request may contain more than one acr requested claim

value. When an RP sends a request containing multiple requested acr claim values it is signalling that it will accept any of the requested authentication types. An OP may satisfy any one of the requested authentication methods; it need not satisfy all of them. OpenID also allows the request to contain no requested acr claim values, which allows the OP to authenticate the subject using any authentication method it chooses.

5.2.3.2 Error Handling

- Finally, an RP must always be prepared to handle an OP response that contains an error status rather than a code or token. This is particularly true when requesting an essential acr claim, as the standard mandates that an OP unable to satisfy the requirements expressed return an error if it responds (see Section 5.5.1.1 of [OIDC]).
- 241 In addition, some exception conditions may prevent an OP from being able to issue a
- response at all, so the user agent may be left interacting with an error response from the OP.

243 **5.2.4 Examples**

- 244 This section is informative.
- An RP issuing a request requiring use of this profile using a parameter:

```
246
247
        "claims":
248
249
             "id token":
250
251
              "acr": {
252
                "essential": true,
253
                "values": ["https://refeds.org/profile/mfa"]
254
               }
255
             }
256
```

```
257 }
```

259 An RP issuing a request requiring use of this profile using a request object:

258

282

293

294

```
260
261
        "iss": "s6BhdRkqt3",
262
        "aud": "https://server.example.com",
263
        "response type": "code id token",
264
        "client id": "s6BhdRkqt3",
        "redirect uri": "https://client.example.org/cb",
265
266
        "scope": "openid",
267
        "state": "af0ifjsldkj",
268
        "nonce": "n-0S6 WzA2Mj",
269
        "max age": 86400,
270
        "claims":
271
272
            "id token":
273
274
             "acr": {
275
               "essential": true,
276
               "values": ["https://refeds.org/profile/mfa"]
277
278
279
          }
280
281
```

An ID token example issued by an OP using this profile:

```
283
284
         "iss": "https://server.example.com",
285
         "sub": "24400320",
286
         "aud": "s6BhdRkqt3",
287
         "nonce": "n-0S6 WzA2Mj",
288
         "exp": 1311281970,
289
         "iat": 1311280970,
290
         "auth time": 1311280969,
291
         "acr": "https://refeds.org/profile/mfa"
292
        }
```

A response indicating the OP was unable to authenticate the subject using this profile:

```
295 HTTP/1.1 302 Found
296 Location: https://client.example.org/cb?
297 error=invalid_request
298 &error_description=Unsupported%20acr%20value
299 &state=af0ifjsldkj
```

300

301

6. References

- 302 [SAMLAuthnContext] Authentication Context for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup
- 303 Language (SAML) V2.0, https://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-authn-context-
- 304 2.0-os.pdf
- 305 **[SAMLCore]** Assertions and Protocols for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language
- 306 (SAML) V2.0, https://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf
- 307 **[ITU-X.1254]** International Telecommunication Union. "Series X. Data Networks, Open
- 308 System Communication and Security. Cyberspace security Identity management. Entity
- authentication assurance framework. Standard X.1254." September 2012:
- 310 https://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-X.1254-201209-I/en.
- 311 [OIDC] OpenID Connect Core 1.0. November 2014. https://openid.net/specs/openid-
- 312 connect-core-1 0.html
- 313 [RFC7519] JSON Web Token (JWT), https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7519
- 314 **[REFEDS]** Listing of REFEDS Specifications and Profiles; https://refeds.org/specifications.