

# 1 Anonymous Access Entity Category

## 2 Overview

3 Research and Education Federations are invited to use the REFEDS Anonymous Access  
4 Entity Category with their members to support the release of attributes to Service  
5 Providers meeting the requirements described below.

6 The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD",  
7 "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be  
8 interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [BCP14].

9 This definition is written in compliance with the Entity Category SAML Entity Metadata  
10 Attribute Types specification [RFC8409]; this specification may be extended to reference  
11 other protocol-specific formulations as circumstances warrant.

12 An FAQ for the Entity Category has been made available to help deployments [FAQ].

## 13 1. Definition

14 Candidates for the Anonymous Access Entity Category are Service Providers that offer a  
15 level of service based on proof of successful authentication. None of the attributes in this  
16 entity category are specifically intended to provide authorization information. See section  
17 6. for a discussion of this use case.

18 By asserting this entity category, Service Providers are signaling that they do not wish to  
19 receive personalized data.

20 Identity Providers may indicate support for this Entity Category to facilitate discovery and  
21 improve the user experience at Service Providers. Self-assertion is the typical approach  
22 used, but this is not the only acceptable method.

## 23 2. Syntax

24 The following URI is used as the attribute value for the Entity Category and Entity Category  
25 Support attribute:

26 <https://refeds.org/category/anonymous>

### 27 3. Semantics (Se)

28 By asserting a Service Provider to be a member of this Entity Category, a registrar claims  
29 that:

- 30 • (Se1) The Service Provider has applied for membership in the Category and complies  
31 with this entity category's registration criteria.
- 32 • (Se2) The Service Provider's application for using the Anonymous Access Entity  
33 Category has been reviewed against the provided REFEDS [Guidelines] and  
34 approved by the registrar.

35 By registering for this Entity Category Attribute, a Service Provider has agreed to the  
36 registration criteria as defined in Section 4.

37 By asserting support for this Entity Category, Identity Providers are indicating that they will  
38 release attributes to Service Providers which also assert this category.

### 39 4. Registration Criteria (RC)

40 When a Service Provider's registrar (normally the Service Provider's home federation)  
41 registers the Service Provider in the Entity Category, the registrar MUST perform at least  
42 the following checks:

- 43 • (RC1) Ensure that the service meets the following technical requirements:
  - 44 ○ (RC1.1) The Service Provider provides an `<mdui:DisplayName>` and  
45 `<mdui:InformationURL>` in metadata. Including an English language  
46 version (i.e., `xml:lang="en"`) is RECOMMENDED.
  - 47 ○ (RC1.2) The Service Provider provides one or more contacts in metadata.

48 These are the requirements to assert this entity category; any change MUST be reported by  
49 the Service Provider to the federation registrar. The federation registrar SHOULD remove  
50 the Entity Category if the Service Provider can no longer demonstrate compliance to these  
51 requirements.

### 52 5. Attribute Bundle

53 This Entity Category supports online services that need the affiliation and organization of  
54 the user to be provided. The attributes chosen represent a privacy baseline such that  
55 further minimization achieves no particular benefit. Thus, the minimal disclosure principle  
56 is already designed into the category.

57 The use of the `<md:RequestedAttribute>` mechanism supported by SAML metadata is  
58 outside the scope of this category and may co-exist with it in deployments as desired,  
59 subject to this specification's requirements being met.

## 60 5.1 Required Attributes

61 The *entity category attribute bundle* consists (abstractly) of the following data elements:

- 62 ■ *organization*
- 63 ■ *affiliation*

64 These abstract elements are bound to protocol-specific definitions in the following  
65 subsection(s) and additional bindings may be added in the future.

66 It is understood that not every subject can necessarily be associated with values for every  
67 attribute. For example, some users may have no formal affiliation with the issuing  
68 organization. In such cases, it is expected that those attribute(s) may not be provided. The  
69 designation that all these attributes are required is a general obligation and not specific to  
70 a given subject.

### 71 5.1.1 SAML 2.0

72 When SAML 2.0 is used, the following SAML Attributes make up the required attribute set  
73 defined abstractly above. In all cases, the defined `NameFormat` is  
74 `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format:uri`

- 75 ■ *organization* is defined to be:
  - 76 ○ `schacHomeOrganization` [SCHAC]
    - 77 ■ Attribute Name: `urn:oid:1.3.6.1.4.1.25178.1.2.9`
- 78 ■ *affiliation* is defined to be:
  - 79 ○ `eduPersonScopedAffiliation` [eduPerson]
    - 80 ■ Attribute Name: `urn:oid:1.3.6.1.4.1.5923.1.1.1.9`

81

82 The specific naming and format of the attributes above is guided by the [SAMLAttr] profile.

## 83 6. Authorization

84 None of the attributes defined in Section 5 are suitable for accurately signaling access  
85 authorization; signaling authorization is out of scope for this entity category. While they  
86 are often used as approximations, this inevitably denies access to authorized users and  
87 permits access to unauthorized users.

88 A companion document discussing the federated authorization problem and suggested  
89 practices can be found at [FederatedAuthorization].

## 90 7. Deployment Guidance for Service Providers

91 Service Providers SHOULD rely on the bundle of attributes defined in Section 5 but MAY ask  
92 for, or even require, other information as needed for additional purposes, via mechanisms  
93 that are outside the scope of this specification.

94 A common example would be a requirement for indicating authorization to access a service  
95 (see Section 6).

96 A Service Provider that conforms to this entity category would exhibit the following entity  
97 attribute in SAML metadata:

```
<mdattr:EntityAttributes
xmlns:mdattr="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:metadata:attribute">
  <saml:Attribute
    xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion"
    NameFormat="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format:uri"
    Name="http://macedir.org/entity-category">

    <saml:AttributeValue>https://refeds.org/category/anonymous</saml:Attr
    ivateValue>
  </saml:Attribute>
</mdattr:EntityAttributes>
```

## 98 8. Deployment Guidance for Identity Providers

99 An Identity Provider indicates support for this entity category by exhibiting the entity  
100 attribute in its metadata. Such an Identity Provider MUST, for a significant subset of its user  
101 population, release all required attributes in the bundle defined in Section 5 to all Service

102 Providers registered for this entity category, either automatically or subject to user consent  
103 or notification, without administrative involvement by any party. This is a tool to limit data  
104 release to services that do not wish to receive personalized attributes.

105 An Identity Provider that supports this entity category would exhibit the following entity  
106 attribute in SAML metadata:

```
<mdattr:EntityAttributes
xmlns:mdattr="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:metadata:attribute">
  <saml:Attribute
    xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion"
    NameFormat="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format:uri"
    Name="http://macedir.org/entity-category-support">

    <saml:AttributeValue>http://refeds.org/category/anonymous</saml:AttributeValue>
  </saml:Attribute>
</mdattr:EntityAttributes>
```

## 107 9. References

108 [BCP14] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14,  
109 RFC 2119, March 1997. Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key  
110 Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, May 2017. <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/bcp14>>.

111 [eduPerson] REFEDS, "eduPerson," <https://refeds.org/specifications/eduperson>.

112 [FAQ] REFEDS, "Anonymous Authorization," wiki page,  
113 <https://wiki.refeds.org/display/ENT/Anonymous+Authorization>.

114 [FederatedAuthorization] REFEDS, "Federated Authorization Best Practices," wiki page,  
115 <https://wiki.refeds.org/display/GROUPS/Federated+Authorization+Best+Practices>.

116 [Guidelines] REFEDS, "Requirements for Federations Operators Assessing Access-Related  
117 Entity Categories," wiki page,  
118 [https://wiki.refeds.org/display/ENT/Requirements+for+Federations+Operators+Assessing+](https://wiki.refeds.org/display/ENT/Requirements+for+Federations+Operators+Assessing+Access-Related+Entity+Categories)  
119 [Access-Related+Entity+Categories](https://wiki.refeds.org/display/ENT/Requirements+for+Federations+Operators+Assessing+Access-Related+Entity+Categories).

120 [RFC8409] Young, I., Ed., Johansson, L., and S. Cantor, "The Entity Category Security  
121 Assertion Markup Language (SAML) Attribute Types", RFC 8409, DOI 10.17487/RFC8409,  
122 August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8409>>.

123 [SAMLAttr] Internet2 MACE Directory Working Group, "MACE-Dir SAML Attribute Profiles",  
124 April 2008, [https://refeds.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/internet2-mace-dir-saml-](https://refeds.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/internet2-mace-dir-saml-attributes-200804.pdf)  
125 [attributes-200804.pdf](https://refeds.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/internet2-mace-dir-saml-attributes-200804.pdf).

126 [SCHAC] "SCHema for ACademia," REFEDS, <https://refeds.org/specifications/schac>.

127