# Wednesday, February 20, 2019

Attending: Judith Bush, David Walker, Nathan Dors, Tom Barton, Alex Stuart, David Bantz, Arnout Terpstra, Lucy Lynch, Warren Anderson, Richard Frovarp, Chris Whalen, Garrett King, Laura Paglione, Shannon Roddy, Albert Wu, Dedra Chamberlin

Regrets: Mark Scheible, Wolfgang Pempe, Thilina Pathirana, Janemarie Duh

Agenda:
1. Future of Feds feedback from TIIME meeting in Vienna last week
2. Review first pass at questions and identify further tasks to add to it.
3. Review first pass at list of constituencies etc and identify further tasks to add to it.
4. Does the list of constituencies suggest anything else needed for the questions? Do the questions suggest any others we should reach out to, or how we should reach out? Has everyone read the Future of Federation blog posts and scanned the FIM4R v2 paper (both linked in our charter)?
5. Sketch first pass at logistics:
   a. One universal questionnaire or several, one per constituency addressed?
   b. Mechanics of sending and collection
   c. Time frames
   d. Else
6. Next meeting: Mar 6
7. Other business

# Notes

1. **Future of Feds feedback from TIIME meeting in Vienna last week**
   a. TIIME is an unconference/open space conference with a mix of folks including private sector and government folks. (See the link to Tom's summary and from Tom's summary the very complete notes from the session.)
   b. "Bubbles" were used in the session to refer to groups/communities of trust and how the bubbles need to merge. Other than R&E the "bubbles" were fairly generic, commercial research, libraries & publishers, government, k-12, …. Also domain specific micro communities that have specific domain specific attributes. How can those communities feed the attributes through?
   c. Was there any discussion about the privacy regulations like GDPR, California's law? Albert says he attended a GDPR crash course session. Federation - if not "processing" (receiving, storing, passing) -- not "on the hook" but would be good to provide guidance. Arnout notes hub federations are "on the hook", but have found with proper agreements, it is pretty straight forward (once handling is done responsibly). COCO Data protection code of conduct from REFEDS helpful in demonstrating compliance.

2. **Review [first pass at questions](#) and identify further tasks to add to it.**
   a. *We reviewed the document and comments - hopefully the content of the comments and resolution addresses the discussion.*
   b. Tom requests that the various questionnaires/survey/interviews address types of risk.
   c. Dedra notes that she has experienced requests from industries outside of research where the large vendor has many small customers & the vendor trusts the small customers -- this space might be well served by federated identity but they aren't engaged in this space. Tom suggests **Dedra to add this to the audience document**.
   d. Questions for IDP seem very current state - Laura has a suggested question for stretching the boundary. We are trying to find the landscape in which we are working and then will evaluate the current activities and tools.
   e. Judith volunteers to find some model scenario planning questions.
3. **Review [first pass at list of constituencies etc](#) and identify further tasks to add to it.**
   a. Let's not assert that this list is complete, but does it suggest other questions? What might we learn from
   b. Lucy points out Warren's slides end with some questions that might be valuable. Tom asks that she include those where she sees fit in the questions.
   c. How successful can we be reaching out to academic discipline organizations?
4. **Sketch first pass at logistics**
   a. Invitation to enter the sketch in a google doc that can then be the start for collaboration
   b. JISC has an online survey tool that Alex will look into it, and will think a little bit about the cycle times.
5. **Will be the middle of Internet 2's Global Summit.**