

Wednesday, March 6, 2019

Attending: Nathan Dors, Garrett King, Judith Bush, Tom, Richard Frovarp, Mark Scheible, Alex Stuart, Albert Wu, Adam Lewenberg, Lucy Lynch, Alan Buxey, Laura Paglione, David Walker, Thilina Pathirana

Regrets: Janemarie Duh, Arnout Terpstra

Agenda:

1. Update on TNC19 face to face
 - a. 9:00-17:30 Thursday June 20 in the "Science Class" room
 - b. We will have a facilitator - arrangements being finalized
 - c. The meeting is closed to WG members, but the WG is open. There will be a registration, hopefully circulated to the federation2 list before going wider.
2. Review open tasks
3. Focal questions
4. New tasks
5. Other business?

Notes

1. Update on TNC19 face to face
 - a. 9:00-17:30 Thursday June 20 in the "Science Class" room
 - b. We will have a facilitator - arrangements being finalized
 - c. The meeting is closed to WG members, but the WG is open. There will be a registration, hopefully circulated to the federation2 list before going wider.
2. Review open tasks
 - a. Review [questions](#) -
 - i. **Questions for New Entrants** Section added based on LEARN, as they sent slides documenting the challenges that they encountered getting started
 - ii. Thoughts about Risk in Identity Federations from David's AI: recognizing four parties, IDP, SP, Fed Operators, and end users. This is just the risks, not the mitigations for them. David believes these move into the future.
 1. Mark added similar risks further up to address vendors who offer SSO that are not Federation integrable. (Google, Azure, etc) What is the impact as they gain market share?
 2. It's not just about avoiding negative harms but also the opportunities to review why we do this.
 3. Risks to stewardship & governance - see the improper use and release and retention

4. Judith: Thinking about coding results from this catalog risk/opportunity, value ...
 5. Warren: Step back from our values that frame risks and probe the assumptions, etc
- b. Any more [constituencies](#) from Dedra -- added large enterprise verticals where sharing information is a risk. Dedra willing to engage her contacts (health care, for example).
- i. Tom notes TIIME meeting breakout “bubbles” -- breadth of communities of communities.... Contrast with R&E binding notion of The Academy and it’s shared mission. This is a dimension to think about
 - ii. Dedra wondering about the folks who didn’t follow up with InCommon
 - iii. Albert wondered about government agencies, particularly outside of the US. Asking Alex -- Scottish government has direct grants into education sector while British government has many different models, somewhat fractured. English government Verify system based on SAML profile, but not widely rolled out. Consult with Rhys Smith. Thilina: government not involved with universities/institute direction so much. Just annual budget contributions. Have had conversations about a federation for access to government resources in IT communities. Alan B perspective - thinking about government E-identities such as Estonia, what about linking govt identity (lifetime) with temporal education identities (the user links when affiliated)... Tom Denmark has govt functions integrated into their national federation, true to some degree in Netherlands (SURF)
- c. Model questions: Excerpt from Scenario Planning in Organizations re [“the seven questions”](#), page 22 of [Scenario planning:strategic interviews andconversations](#), slide 7 of [this presentation](#)
- i. Judith raises the concern about the coding of the responses
 - ii. Tom says not ready for a interview and would like a “cheat sheet”
 - iii. Lucy suggests an email version of the questions with written response and then follow up interview
 - iv. David reiterates the need to taylor an overriding question for different constituencies
 - v. Laura thinks these are too big for online questions
 - vi. Judith challenges everyone to answer the seven questions by email
 - vii. Tom points to the time challenge of interviews
- d. [Logistics of survey](#)
- i. Alex says we’ve covered some of the concerns/questions that he’s
 1. Don’t use excel as back end
 - ii. We can use his demo survey to test both the survey and the 7 questions.
 - iii. Thilina asked whether surveys in Sri Lanka - yes Google and Survey Monkey sent via email and then nags is a common strategy.
- e. Cheat Sheet: scaffolding for the interview -

- i. Judith wonders whether they need to be specialized
 - ii. Laura says from her experience the future is shared and the questions are general and don't have to be specialized. So one cheat sheet can be done
 - iii. Lucy says we need the framing around the questions to help focus the different responses. Ask for interview volunteers in survey
 - iv. Laura points out the scenarios could have nothing to do with ORCID because the scenarios were to explore where ORCID needed to address.
 - v. Dimensions for ISOC in their strategic planning - going over the responses generated the dimensions that informed the scenarios. Dimensions came from the information gathering.
3. Focal questions - will Lucy and Laura help with a prospective set of questions with an example. Yes - with Judith
 - a. Insiders: **What does the future look like for federations in the next 10-15 years?**
 - b. Academics **What does the future look like for networked access to collaborative tools and research resources in the next 10-15 years?**
4. New tasks
5. Other business?