Meeting Notes

Fed2 WG Google folder:  
https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1vr728JXAFVH3agjnbuieV9NLjB_OKHdET?usp=sharing

Meeting  https://internet2.zoom.us/j/8853848902

time:

## Tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who</th>
<th>What</th>
<th>When</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judith</td>
<td>Ask the secretariat TNC19 who is coming for international presentations &amp; Heather (who from AAF) Federation Mentoring program (see Refeds grants email) -JUDITH</td>
<td>April 17</td>
<td>Sent first week May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judith</td>
<td>Send time &amp; venue for TNC19 workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sent first week May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Review survey responses and identify note-worthy things, themes, thoughts provoked, etc.</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wednesday, May 29, 2019

**Attending:** Lucy Lynch, Laura Paglione, Judith Bush, David Bantz, Mark Scheible, Arnout T, Tom B, S. Roddy, Albert W, Richard F, Pål Axelsson, Janemarie Duh, Warren Anderson, Dedra Chamberlin

**Regrets:** Alan Buxley, Thilina Pathirana

**Agenda:**
1. **Check on TNC19 Workshop attendee list**
2. Discuss distillation of [survey observations](#) by WG members into “tussles” or “axes”.
   a. What’s missing and should be added?
   b. Should something there be removed or altered?
   c. Do these look like they are useful for creating meaningful scenarios?
3. F2F agenda - hot topics for the small team exercise. Want to change any of these, especially in light of the above discussion?
   a. Trust doesn’t scale
   b. Every identifier will be misused
   c. You can’t manufacture consent in asymmetric relationships
   d. Stewardship is permanent (and may be irrevocable)
   e. Nobody reads the small print
   f. Context dictates disclosure – and may encourage shape-shifting
   g. Affiliation is transient but identities may be persistent
   h. A chain of trust is defined by the weakest link
4. **Other business**

**Notes**
1. We have room for 4 more attendees, so Arnout will reach out to his invitees (AARC). Judith will contact Nick to see if he’s got any RSVPs.
2. Much rich discussion over what are useful axes and what use to make of some of the other material in the doc, eg, as roles or lenses through which to assess prospective axes or scenarios. We agreed that adding more to [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1TghNbv0AYnfdp_dsNFTCuYRwbq4IviM4KyAvl632tuM/edit#](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1TghNbv0AYnfdp_dsNFTCuYRwbq4IviM4KyAvl632tuM/edit#) is welcome. See notes there
   a. Laura asks & Lucy confirms: we will need to confirm which two of these “tussles” or uncertainties will be selected as the richest and most productive to pick the poles of the four scenarios.
   b. Tom (with any help that shows up!) will massage the doc into prospective axes and lenses - something like that.
3. The hard truths exercise is meant to engage the workshop with hard problems that are likely to persist but are also familiar, that can lead into the more unfamiliar concepts. We
will have 15 min for 3 opportunities and 3 challenges for federations with respect to the truth. This then helps us inform the stories.