

## Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

Fed2 WG Google folder:

[https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1vr728JXAFVH3agjnbueV9NLjB\\_OKHdET?usp=sharing](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1vr728JXAFVH3agjnbueV9NLjB_OKHdET?usp=sharing)

Meeting <https://internet2.zoom.us/j/8853848902>

time:

<https://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/fixetime.html?msg=REFEDS+Federation+2.0+Conference+Call+%28every+two+weeks%29&iso=20190626T11&p1=179&ah=1>

## Tasks

Who	What	When	Status
David Walker & Jeanmarie Duh	Strawman expression of the values	For Oct 16	Draft completed
	Editor to distill down the stories		
Judith	Pull the work since the workshops into outline for report	For Oct 30	
Judith	Circulate values document and invite participation Ask re fed paraph i will survive	For Oct 18	Done

## Wednesday Oct 2 2019

Attending: Lucy Lynch, Janemarie, Judith Bush, David Walker, Karen O' Donoghue, Alan Buxey, Sander Engelberts, Warren Anderson, Tom Barton, Laura Paglione, Adam Lewenberg

### Agenda

1. Does anyone want to share more thoughts about the scenarios that were prepared for the previous two meetings that we did not get to hear?
2. Review the structure of <https://docs.google.com/document/d/153O1jrMID9sRvkyK8m2MRomCVhJuPep7y-ycMufyUM/edit#> where each scenario is presented as the story, characterized, summarized for high points and distinct implications, then all implications discussed.
3. Looking ahead: presentation at TechEx, InCommon Webinar in December (JEB hasn't responded yes, may have lost slot)
4. Possible next actions to be taken before Oct 16:
  - a. Do we need an alternative framework for presenting scenarios and implications

## Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

- b. Call out the trust fabric implications of the scenarios - can could FIM evolve to full
- c. Implications to strategy: given our collection of implications and the concerns from the survey, a list of strategic actions
  - i. Why - Scenario trigger &/or survey concern?
  - ii. Action for each federation or federation community?
  - iii. What are the triggers for this action?
- d. What strategies to push towards positive outcomes in each quadrant
- e. Volunteers for reviewing September meeting notes and adding to stories or general implications
- f. Are we ready to draft short Value of federation statement, reviewing the values from the survey and connecting those to federation services
- g. Articulate the strengths the community has

## Notes

Looking at Multiply & Divide -- negative, what about a wise directing and sharing of resources? (Also, was "the system" a positive result?) Take it as a research group using a proprietary tool that is isolated from other tools. A question of isolation.

Given we can't direct the way societies choose to go, how do we as an industry prepare to adapt to the things out of our control.

**STRATEGIES: BOTH** how can we influence choices in the larger ecosystem [REFEDs] AND how do we adapt to the changes in the larger ecosystems

Question: should we have recommendation to stakeholders of federations?

Do not overestimate influence and be prepared for the negative outcomes.

In rolling strategies back to the value statements/goals - there will be policy pieces that are recommendations outside of federations. EG: privatization of public resources - weather data, pay for access is policy

JEB wanders off to talk about citizen science that develops as open data arises outside of academy -- is this an area for strategic actions

Strengths community has? And Weaknesses?

Baseline: given the way the world is now, how do we more strongly align organizations to values? What will baseline look like in 10 years? How do you know you are "done"/ what is next?

## Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

THINGS “MISSING” FROM SCENARIOS -or- ARE THERE SUBSTANTIAL MATTERS that should come out

- Citizen science
- Baseline effort
- Issues/alarms: attribute release, lack of teeth in enforcing standards (qv baseline)

STRATEGY: Strong international presence to help guide

IDENTITY: is this enough to justify such a body or does it need to take on more support of collaboration?

If you lock people into a set of applications ... lock out identity solutions....

VALUE = TRUST; what is our strategy

Fundamental product of federations is trust fabric, not the identities, allowing interoperation between organizations.

What is the implication for trust and how can we play a role

The promotion of the values may require different organizations as we go forward and this is part of the change. Embrace change but look out for the values.

Unusual strengths of FIM community: global community, engaged and highly skilled

Volunteers; Strong set of shared values (openness, sharing, etc)

Identity the tool that has been what was used, maybe identity now “solved”, trust fabric the next problem to be solved. Issues may be delegating authority (or the other questions of research subjects, attribution of research) Federations could take on new kinds of functions to anchor the global trust fabric