

## Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

Fed2 WG Google folder:

[https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1vr728JXAFVH3agjnbueV9NLjB\\_OKHdET?usp=sharing](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1vr728JXAFVH3agjnbueV9NLjB_OKHdET?usp=sharing)

Meeting <https://internet2.zoom.us/j/8853848902>

time:

<https://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/fixetime.html?msg=REFEDS+Federation+2.0+Conference+Call+%28every+two+weeks%29&iso=20190626T11&p1=179&ah=1>

## Tasks

Who	What	When	Status
David Walker & Jeanmarie Duh	Strawman expression of the values	For Oct 16	Draft completed
	Editor to distill down the stories		
Judith	Pull the work since the workshops into outline for report	For Oct 30	
Judith	Circulate values document and invite participation Ask re fed paragraph i will survive	For Oct 18	Done
Judith	Start the brief view of the scenarios (half a page/ 3 paragraphs ) - get the breadth and enough :shorthand” for the	For Oct 25	
Judith & David	Paragraph on wise direction/community advocacy	For Oct 30	OBE: include in Tom's strawman

## Wednesday Oct 16 2019

Attending: Judith, Janemarie, David Walker, Lucy Lynch, Richard F, Thilina P, Arnout T,

Regrets: Mark Scheible

Agenda

## Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

1. 10 m (max) TechEx: volunteers for panel It will be at 11:10 am on 10 December.  
[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1N7Z-IJkjpoxFz\\_r2\\_vOH5\\_A741vFDTx-Zpa4kFxINks/edit](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1N7Z-IJkjpoxFz_r2_vOH5_A741vFDTx-Zpa4kFxINks/edit)
2. [Values](#) discussion
3. [Federation 2.0 Scenarios from an Alternate Universe](#)

Possible TechEx outline at

[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1N7Z-IJkjpoxFz\\_r2\\_vOH5\\_A741vFDTx-Zpa4kFxINks/edit](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1N7Z-IJkjpoxFz_r2_vOH5_A741vFDTx-Zpa4kFxINks/edit)

See Values document: has four value “pillars” : Trust , Technical interop, Enabling agile collaboration, a vital and engaged community.

“The important thing is organizational trust among participants”

Trust & academic freedom: freedom comes out in the scenarios, Does trust include academic freedom? Is it “Mom and Apple Pie?” (IE: concepts everyone in the community acknowledges without thinking?)

Would it instead be a hallmark of academy? Openness and academic freedom? “If you trust you can be open”

Also under trust... “The trust in federation”. Then “Technical interoperation”

May need to flesh this out.

Third is “Enablement of agile collaboration”

Focuses on the services federations provide access to ... Discovery of collaborators (comes out of the Tinder scenario). Could be literature searches as today, although the Tinder model is interesting.

A tension in developing this between current and future values informed the values doc.

Last is “A vital and engaged community”

Working groups, developing standards, work with service providers and best practices/ doing the right thing, education

“The quick and easy” resonates with Arnout. It’s becoming more easy to offer but contractual impact for reliability etc.

Determining there should be a collaboration can be a lengthy political process

(David now presents) Potentials for the future: Federation exchange of identity - does this increase? CF NRENs What is expected to be available?

## Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

Since we are presenting to multiple federations there are different federations who may be interested in implementing “added value” beyond just identity services ... Different federations could be offering different trust services to assert qualities about Relying parties.

It seems we agree that it is appropriate for us to include recommendations for services that go beyond identity services...

**Shared implication:** Looking at the scenarios, the decisions of government impact how effective federations can be. Do we need to influence policy makers?

Also -- can federations develop ways to represent policy statements?

In terms of federations and future of federations: think for local up. How difficult it was to get edugain up... more and more you will need to express policy constraints , gating conditions (who are funders, is there a governmental constraint on the nationality of participants) expressing gating requirements and the individuals conformance with the gating conditions.

**Need to do national advocacy to ensure interoperability policy .**

**Need to do technical work to express any policy gates and whether the criteria are met.\**

David & Judith to work on a paragraph of the value of wise planning. Turning negative to positive: how wise planning could make a difference in the scenario outcomes, more positive.

Perhaps “I Will Survive” could conclude with a paragraph that this is the point where a federation was formed to help out.