Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

Fed2 WG Google folder:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1vr728JXAFVH3agjnbueV9NLjB_OKHdET?usp=sharing

Meeting https://internet2.zoom.us/j/8853848902

time:

https://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/fixedtime.html?msg=REFEDS+Federation+2.0+Conference+Call+%28every+two+weeks%29&iso=20190626T11&p1=179&ah=1

Tasks

Who	What	When	Status
David Walker & Jeanmarie Duh	Strawman expression of the values	For Oct 16	Draft completed
	Editor to distill down the stories		
Judith	Pull the work since the workshops into outline for report	For Oct 30	
Judith	Circulate values document and invite participation Ask re fed paraph i will survive	For Oct 18	Done
Judith	Start the brief view of the scenarios (half a page/ 3 paragraphs) - get the breadth and enough :shorthand" for the	For Oct 25	
Judith & David	Paragraph on wise direction/community advocacy	For Oct 30	OBE: include in Tom's strawman
Arnout	Draft TNC presentation proposal	For Nov 13/27	
Tom	Strawman distillation of key environmental factors and recommendations those motivate	Nov 13	

Wednesday Oct 30 2019

Attending: Tom B, David W, Judith B, Laura P, Lucy L, David B, Richard F, Arnout T, Albert Wu, Dedra C, Brook S

Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

Regrets: Alan B, Janemarie D

Agenda:

- 1. Task review
- 2. Tom's task. Ensure he's working towards the right objective: a strawman distillation of
 - a. Environmental factors, tensions, assumptions that have emerged as those most evident or operative in the input we've received and in how we've proceeded
 - b. Prospective courses of action that have been noted or can be inferred, or problems that the REFEDS community should address to influence the course of events so that R&E federation can continue to provide value
 - c. Does that seem about right? Suggestions?
 - d. Do others also want to take a stab at something like this?
- 3. Plan of work going forward

Activity	Original time frame	New time frame
 Create survey Identify stakeholders and collect responses from as broad a set of people as possible Reach out to identified people to ask for their unstructured reflections on lessons learned or future planning scenarios 	February - April	Done
 Analyse collected data. Write report explaining our data collection and analysis. What do the data say to us? 	May - June	Done, noting that this will be a section of a unified final report
Face to face facilitated meeting to produce initial future scenarios.	Thursday 20 June, 9:00-17:30 at TNC19	Done
Viewed through lenses provided by the scenarios, determine: • What should be the mission of R&E Federations? • What gaps are there between these futures and how R&E Feds are prepared, as we can determine from the collected data? • What are the most important things we believe R&E Federations and others should do to prepare for these futures?	July - August	July - November

Prepare report of our recommendations and the methodology that led us to them	September - October	December - January
Consultation on the report beginsWebinars	November	February
Live consultation input at Internet2 TechEx Complete Consultation period	December	December
Complete Consultation periodFinal report published	January	April

TNC presentation

Talk may be too short notice - is due 28 November - we meet on the 27th and can finalize application https://tnc20.geant.org/submission-guidelines/
28 January 2020 Submission Deadline for BoF and Demonstration

BoF (Bird of a Feather) proposals

Duration: 2 hours | Deadline: Tuesday, January 28

For TNC20 we will only offer a limited number of slots for small 2-hour meetings (BoFs). The bigger side meetings that usually take place during TNC will be selected beforehand and the organisers of these meetings will be notified by us.

Good to know:

The BoFs will take place on Thursday June 11 from 18:00 - 20:00. This is in the evening of the last conference day.

All requests for a BoF will be handled as a 2-hour meeting.
Only TNC participants may enter the venue to attend the BoF meeting.

https://tnc20.geant.org/submission-guidelines/

Laura usually attends TNC but presents many proposals so may not be a good candidate

Arnout usually goes - happy to participate in writing a proposal but short on time - could bring a draft

4. Additional tasks needed to implement the plan?

None suggested.

Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

5. Else

Brief discussion of what a "brief view" of a scenario should look like, supported by considering this:

 $\underline{https://docs.google.com/document/d/153O1jrMID9sRvkyK8m2MRomCVhJuPep7y-ycMuftyUM/e}\\\underline{dit}$