

## Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

Fed2 WG Google folder:

[https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1vr728JXAFVH3agjnbueV9NLjB\\_OKHdET?usp=sharing](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1vr728JXAFVH3agjnbueV9NLjB_OKHdET?usp=sharing)

Meeting <https://internet2.zoom.us/j/8853848902?pwd=ZzNtZS80QUcrVkF6V3lWWTXE2VFdBdz09>

time:

<https://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/fixetime.html?msg=REFEDS+Federation+2.0+Conference+Call+%28every+two+weeks%29&iso=20190626T11&p1=179&ah=1>

## Tasks

Who	What	When	Status

## Wednesday, Nov 11, 2020

Attending: David W, Tom B, Judith B (late), Dedra C, Craig L, Raja, Alan B, Lucy L

Regrets:

Agenda (really, upcoming arc of work):

1. Dedra's drafting
2. Laura's recommendation & actions
3. TBA: Review Actions for missing items that should be continued or discontinued, not just new stuff (in [Summary of the Stones](#) section)
4. TBA: Review Scenarios for specific links with Messages
5. Should the report advocate that specific organizations or types of orgs start taking specific steps, ie, analogous to how the FIM4R paper did it, or should it stop short of that and say "here's some things that need to get done" and let others figure out who does what?
6. (Group consensus) Decide organization of the report, if that's still indeterminate at this point.
7. Divvy up writing tasks.

"Who should do things" compare to the FIM4R work :

Atherton, Christopher John, Thomas Barton, Jim Basney, Daan Broeder, Alessandro Costa, Mirjam Van Daalen, Stephanie Dyke, et al. 2018. "Federated Identity Management For Research Collaborations," June. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1307551>.

## Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

The difference with FIM4R is we are proposing the unified voice organization: perhaps the shorter term goals of what the unified voice organization does should be scoped?

Whether the unified voice organization is REFEDs or another should be from a follow on organization/group/effort that

External perception of one place to go and “branding” needs to happen sooner. Another working group won’t get us in time. A charter, statement of principles, for external groups to recognize is needed soon.

Governance questions may take longer and may.

Follow-on group to be comprised of leaders of Federation Operation and REFEDs?

**Report needs to lean into the existential risks and the need to move urgently in establishing a single unified voice that can advocate the position that academic interfederation has unique needs that are valuable to support beyond.**

**The current structure of our community -- which may seem unified and cohesive to those of us in the community -- is not a clear entity but a multiplicity of organizations -- to the actors and entities that we wish to influence.**

Digression about the tension between communities of interest and technical commons; who is privileged in determining the technical commons, what approach do we have to support the communities of interest?

“When do we do OIDC” -- how do we address this?

Authentication almost at just works, authorization is the challenge -- the entitlements....