

## Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

Fed2 WG Google folder:

[https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1vr728JXAFVH3agjnbueV9NLjB\\_OKHdET?usp=sharing](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1vr728JXAFVH3agjnbueV9NLjB_OKHdET?usp=sharing)

Meeting <https://internet2.zoom.us/j/8853848902?pwd=ZzNtZS80QUcrVkF6V3lWWTXE2VFdBdz09>

time:

<https://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/fixedtime.html?msg=REFEDS+Federation+2.0+Conference+Call+%28every+two+weeks%29&iso=20190626T11&p1=179&ah=1>

## Tasks

Who	What	When	Status

## Wednesday, Nov 25, 2020

Attending: Tom, Judith, Craig L, Alan B

Regrets: Lucy L, Raja V, Laura P

Agenda (really, upcoming arc of work):

1. Dedra's drafting
2. Laura's recommendation & actions
3. TBA: Review Actions for missing items that should be continued or discontinued, not just new stuff (in [Summary of the Stones](#) section)
4. TBA: Review Scenarios for specific links with Messages
5. Should the report advocate that specific organizations or types of orgs start taking specific steps, ie, analogous to how the FIM4R paper did it, or should it stop short of that and say "here's some things that need to get done" and let others figure out who does what?
6. (Group consensus) Decide organization of the report, if that's still indeterminate at this point.
7. Divvy up writing tasks.
8. Verify there is clarity of a vision of the 10 year future of R&E federation being one of many communities with federations that share the tooling and infrastructure, bringing what we have learned to a wider community and addressing sustainability issues.

[Alan] will undertake a review of the Scenarios relating to links with the Messages.

## Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

Members spoke about the reality that even the core audience for the report - R&E feds - need not share a common perspective about their community, and so perhaps might not understand that there is currently no unified voice. So explain that in connection with one of the Messages (noted in one of them).

We agree that A-I should be more inclusive and expand to other sectors/markets/whatever, but should the report address them as one audience? Ie, if the report will recommend that steps be taken to achieve a unified voice for A-I, should it encourage sectors beyond to engage with A-I before A-I has a capability to engage, as a whole?

[Craig] will draft something for potential inclusion in the report that addresses readers outside A-I, identifying broader inclusion goals and prospective means to reduce barriers to adoption. Cf. agenda item #8 above, added during this part of the discussion.