

Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

Fed2 WG Google folder:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1vr728JXAFVH3agjnbueV9NLjB_OKHdET?usp=sharing

Meeting <https://internet2.zoom.us/j/8853848902?pwd=ZzNtZS80QUcrVkF6V3lWTXE2VFdBdz09>

time:

<https://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/fixetime.html?msg=REFEDS+Federation+2.0+Conference+Call+%28every+two+weeks%29&iso=20190626T11&p1=179&ah=1>

Tasks

Who	What	When	Status

Wednesday, Dec 9, 2020

Attending: Tom B, Judith B, Craig I, Raja V, Nathan Dors, David W, Alan B

Regrets: Lucy L

Agenda (really, upcoming arc of work):

1. Dedra's drafting
2. Laura's recommendation & actions
3. Alan's review of the Scenarios with linkage to Messages
4. [Craig's thoughts](#) on inclusion
5. TBA: Review Actions for missing items that should be continued or discontinued, not just new stuff (in [Summary of the Stones](#) section)
6. Should the report advocate that specific organizations or types of orgs start taking specific steps, ie, analogous to how the FIM4R paper did it, or should it stop short of that and say "here's some things that need to get done" and let others figure out who does what?
7. (Group consensus) Decide organization of the report, if that's still indeterminate at this point.
8. Divvy up writing tasks.

From Me to Everyone: (11:04 AM)

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U-7t9e0PwMyvIDV_HTWF9CYGQGL2-_j2MzqEN-e9IDE/edit

From Me to Everyone: (11:10 AM)

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1W47ff5flt7BzvdNbzxUOsikGBbTGDw-7/edit> Craig's doc in the drive

From Nathan Dors (he/they) to Everyone: (11:37 AM)

Federation 2.0 Working Group Meeting Notes

It's also to a degree about autonomy.

From Tom Barton to Everyone: (11:41 AM)

autonomy to pursue a different mission?

From Nathan Dors (he/they) to Everyone: (11:41 AM)

Exactly

From Me to Everyone: (11:47 AM)

(Summarizing) A vision for federation 2.0 is that multilateral (_heterogenous_) federation be a technology — like the internet — that becomes pervasive across different verticals. Then, managing a carved out section of identity and authorization tooled for the academy still continues but like NRENs have dedicated pipes reserved for academic work, a virtual administrative domain sets off the community for the academy.

From Me to Everyone: (11:50 AM)

A vision for federation 2.0 is that multilateral, open federation be a technology — like the internet — that becomes pervasive across different verticals. Then computing, instruments, data and collaboration resources would all have the same access control protocols and principles. Then, managing a carved out section of identity and authorization tooled for the academy still continues but like NRENs have dedicated pipes reserved for academic work, a virtual administrative domain sets off the community for the academy.

From Me to Everyone: (11:52 AM)

Then computing, instruments, data and collaboration resources would all have the same access control protocols and principles that rely on the global multilateral federation administrative tooling - basic protocol for exchanging identity plues

From Me to Everyone: (11:54 AM)

- a protocol layer for establishing trusted administrative boundaries

From Nathan Dors (he/they) to Everyone: (12:00 PM)

@Laura is the report you mentioned comparing commercial providers an open or proprietary report? Interesting posit that they're very much the same.

From Laura Paglione to Everyone: (12:01 PM)

It's just for the client, but I can talk to that if interested

From Nathan Dors (he/they) to Everyone: (12:01 PM)

Thx Laura

ASK THE QUESTION: at the federation operator level