Wednesday, January 19, 2022

Attending: Tom B, Judith B, David W, Alan B, Craig L, Dedra C, Laura P, Dedra C

Regrets: Lucy L

Agenda

1. Review Craig’s material and the statement of an end state – “Goal is an Academic Interfederation that can execute global strategy, react to external opportunities and threats, and coordinate resources to streamline processes and reduce cost.” – and how to fold that in, partly as a response to Josh’s last comment

2. Ensure that the report takes appropriate advantage of the new Circa 2021 section - the reread by Alan underscores that this is needed
   a. There is a little repetition both in conceptual explanations – like interaction with IdPs and SPs, architecture of national federations and their roles, federation participants are ambiguous – needs addressing.

3. Review the addition of an example of Non-US use case to address Niels’ comment

The discussion of Craig’s material (agenda #1) led to the idea of doing some REFEDS blog posts after the paper is accepted by the REFEDS SC. Sort of a book-end to the blogs that kicked off this WG. A list of ideas is below. In particular, the group felt that those ideas make for a good perspective from which to write one of the blogs.

Tom will look at folding David’s positive statement (see notes from last meeting) in with the Exec Summary:

- From the Exec summary: We see a challenging future that will require our community to create a global leadership structure with the authority and resources to meet its threats and needs. If this is not done, the Academic Interfederation community risks ceding its traditional network and identity management leadership to newer players, and will be left
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with merely reacting to developments across the wider governmental, corporate, and consumer identity landscape.

- Vs: “Goal is an Academic Interfederation that can execute global strategy, react to external opportunities and threats, and coordinate resources to streamline processes and reduce cost.”

The group reviewed several comments and suggested edits Tom made recently and gained consensus on how to resolve them. The group also agreed that Tom would identify and add a European example, possibly replacing LIGO (but maybe just in addition to).

Tom will do another editing pass with the aim to have the prospective final draft ready for a go-no go decision by the group at our next meeting.

Ideas for post-publication blogs:

- Act now!
- Craig’s perspective (Craig)
- UN sustainable development goals (Laura). Perhaps also https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2021/10/27/now-is-the-time-to-work-together-toward-open-infrastructures-for-scholarly-metadata/