September 27, 2018

Attending: Tom, Bob Cowles, David K, Uros, Romain, Scott K, Alan Buxey, Shannon, Brett

Proposed Agenda

- 1. <u>Sirtfi+ Registry Requirements</u>:
 - a. Have we got the roles right yet?
 - b. Contrast with <u>REEP-PEER</u>
- 2. If we've got the roles right, what is the remaining role for a governance structure?

We reviewed the edits to the req doc and identified two further substantive changes: (1) remove the Trustee role altogether, and (2) revise function requirements to once again make the Note at the bottom of that section true. These changes to support a posture in which no federation operator would have concern over the registry "trumping" the authority of their operation. We also agreed that all Sirtfi-tagged entities should appear in the Registry's aggregate so that the whole Sirtfi global picture is captured in one place - the Risgtry's aggregate. We also decided that we need to flag each federation to indicate whether it has native means of handling Sirtfi on behalf of its members or if the Sirtfi+ Registry should be that means, at least for an interim. NB: this enables federations to rely on the Sirtfi+ Registry as their official tooling if they so choose.