## October 25, 2018

Attending: Scott, Alan, Bob, Tom, Romain, Hannah, David G, Uros, Brook, Shannon

Proposed Agenda

- 1. Discussion of feedback on the Sirtfi+ Registry received at TechEx
  - a. Any changes to the Requirements doc?
    - i. Please see LIGO's objection, sent in an email on Wednesday, October 17
    - ii. See Niels email sent in email on Thursday, October 25
  - b. Any other materials we should produce as a WG related to the Registry?
  - c. Any other steps we should initiate in order to bring this thing into existence?

What a Governance body may need to address:

- Removal, dispute resolution.
- Scope limitation.
- Operational SLA.
- Sustainability.

Who should be on such a governance body:

- WISE
- FIM4R
- eduGAIN
- REFEDS
- Sirtfi WG/expertise
- Membership and procedure should be balanced among those groups

The WG should draft a charter for this governing body.

From davidg to Everyone:

□ https://www.eugridpma.org/charter/EUGridPMA-charter-20080527-1-1.pdf□ A slightly more heavy-weight example: <u>http://rcauth.eu/policy/RCauth-ICA-governance-DRAFT.pdf</u>□

In place of a contract to operate, use mechs that have proven to work in R&E. Eg, CAs, eduGAIN. Transparency by operator is one element of this "operational policy". Neutral domain name in case operator needs to change.

[AI] WG members to reflect on what our next steps should be towards operating the Sirtfi+ Registry and post those idea to the list between now and next call.

[AI] Tom and Laura to draft a Sirtfi+ Registry governance body charter for discussion.

2. Else