

## Task List

Who	What	When	Status
Nicole	Collect several fed security plans.	Feb 28	
Nicole	Check with WAYF on how they do their incident response - is it like other hub and spokes?	Feb 28	
Shannon	Report on REN-ISAC information sharing guidelines	Jan 31	

January 17, 2019

Attending: Tom, Hannah, Romain, Nicole, Shannon, DavidG, Uros, Brook, Pål

### Proposed Agenda:

1. Any new WG members joining us for this task?
2. Orientation to [AARC DNA3.2 paper](#).
  - a. It's our copy - ok to make comments!
  - b. Go to the Table of Contents. Note 3 main parts of the paper:
    - i. Background and education
    - ii. Proposal for incident response
    - iii. Appendices with suggested procedure and message templates
3. What needs to be added? Some possibilities:
  - i. Improvements to procedures and templates
    1. Hannah's comments in the paper
    2. steps to notify broader security community. Eg, REN-ISAC.
  - ii. Expansion of the proposal to cover more types of parties to an incident response
    1. Many entity's security contacts are some CERT, not the org itself.
      - a. GARR, Surf, maybe other or all hub and spoke feds
      - b. Some individual contacts, not generic
      - c. May need to develop R&E focused subgroups of existing CERT orgs, eg, FIRST
        - i. Geant 4 project - Nicole will keep us updated
      - d. REN-ISAC? Not well aligned with federation, nor substantial overlap with InCommon membership. OTOH, may be useful in more ad hoc manner, especially for broader notification of incident details.
  - iii. Establishing technical means for sharing incident info among responders
    1. Eg: shared Box folder + async real-time

- a. SWITCH uses Mattermost (slack-like)  
<https://mattermost.com/>
    - b. Discord <https://discordapp.com>
  - iv. Sharing guidelines: what can/must be/not be shared with whom when?
  - v. Annual table tops
    - 1. Romain is involved appropriate Geant project and will liaise for us
- 4. Is what we'll produce an enhancement to this doc or a new doc that responds to it?
- 5. What date should we work toward for completing this work?
  - a. AARC wraps up end of April, gets harder for some to participate
- 6. Whose input do we need to complete the work?
- 7. Whose buy-in do we need to make it implementable?
  - a. eduGAIN
  - b. AARC DNA 3.2 authors (incl. SCI people)
- 8. Next steps.
- 9. Any other business.

REFEDS survey: lots of feds have security plans. Asked for where they are.  
Should WG provide a template? -- Yes