

Sirtfi WG google folder:

[https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/13EhgPxzLy4U6FMP\\_cVDalbqju40hOhUR](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/13EhgPxzLy4U6FMP_cVDalbqju40hOhUR)

## Current Task List

Who	What	When	Status
Nicole	FOs don't sign up for Sirtfi - create a template / criteria for involving them in incident response (i.e. get FOs to declare what they are doing and start actively monitoring this). Also encompasses defining what FOs should be doing during incidents.	In Progress	Raised at Steering Committee, small WG created.
Nicole	Peruse NGI Trust funded projects to identify any that may be relevant for Sirtfi.		
Christos	Propose a <a href="#">REFEDS 2020 Work plan</a> item focused on understanding and potentially "regulating" proxy IdPs.		
David G, Uros, Sven	Improve sharing procedures in <a href="#">AARC DNA3.2 paper</a> by folding in experience from EGI.	Expected Dec 19, 2019	
Mario	Ask Dick Visser about taking control of sirtfi.org and CC Nicole		
Tom	Agendize review of educational materials to see if we're done with that Phase 2 deliverable.		
Tom	See about TrustedCI assistance with an IR wiki	Jan 30, 2020	Shared feedback with WG on Jan 28, 2020
Uros, Tom	Provide initial considerations of establishing channels for incident response, and how can the communication be coordinated (considering edugain csirt)	Feb 13, 2020	

## February 13, 2020

Attending: Tom, Pål, Romain, DavidG, Hannah, Christos, Uros, Sven, Daniel Kouril, Mario Reale, Alan Buxley, Shannon Roddy

Regrets: David Kelsey, Scott Koranda (indefinitely), Laura Paglione

Agenda:

1. Romain will review with us an early draft of an [incident response procedure / communication workflow for eduGAIN](#)
2. Next steps for improving the [AARC DNA3.2 paper](#).
3. AOB

Romain displayed the doc (it didn't render well when Tom tried to make it into a google doc) and we went through it. Romain noted that much of the material is taken directly from the [AARC DNA3.2 paper](#). Some observations, either about the draft doc or about the larger need to get all implicated parties aligned with it:

- Fed ops have a responsibility for handling an incident within their federation. Many may not be prepared to discharge this responsibility. Someone should tell them. This needs to be thought out and coordinated a bit.
- Circulate this draft by WISE, then fed ops, then to eduGAIN Steering for formal adoption. Exactly how to circulate to fed ops must be determined.
- Does this guidance give fed ops a clear understanding of when they should initially contact eduGAIN security?
- Potential tooling needed to support certain AMBER notifications being sent to all Sirtfi compliant entities.
- There is no established means by which Fed ops publish their security contact info. This can hinder fed member responsibility to notify them, and some eduGAIN Security coordination needs.

[Tom] to try to improve fidelity of the gdoc version of the draft document so that WG members can leave comments in the draft doc. [Done]

The WG will continue its consideration of the doc and nexts steps associated with its adoption at its next meeting.