Sirtfi WG google folder:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/13EhgPxzLy4U6FMP_cVDaIbqju40hOhUR

Current Task List

Who	What	When	Status
Tom	Ready the IR Handbook for Consultation and write Nicole about it.	June 4, 2020	Consultation period ends Sep 11.
David G	Ask AEGIS members to check/confirm that they have an open channel to their federation operators to ask about readiness for incident response.	Jun 4, 2020	
Tom	Ask eduGAIN security team to update the WG periodically on what's been going on, and suggest they draw on Hannah's templates.	July 16, 2020	July 16, 2020: Done.
Tom	Update work plan in the wiki	July 16, 2020	July 16, 2020: Done
Tom	Ask Scott K about LIGO and the Sirtfi+ Registry	July 16, 2020	July 17, 2020: Done. LIGO's need was to get some IdPs in the Indian federation shown as sirtfi compliant, but LIGO-India decided to operate its own IdP instead.
Alan B	Read IETF Security Events docs and discuss impressions at next WG meeting (Aug 13, 2020)	July 30, 2020	
Tom	Create initial draft survey about Sirtfi v1	July 20, 2020	
Tom	Send email to REFEDS list on ~Aug 11, about 1 month before Consultation period	July 30, 2020	pending

	closes, asking Fed Ops especially to look at the IR Handbook under Consultation.		

August 13, 2020

Regrets: Sven, Romain

July 30, 2020

Attending: Romain W, Uros S, Alan B, Tom B, Daniel K, Shannon R

Regrets: Sven, Dave K, David C

Agenda:
1. Updates:
    a. Tasks (in table above)
    b. Consensus process
    c. Sirtfi call notes for 2019
2. Last call on updating the work plan in the Sirtfi wiki
3. Your thoughts about the next task the WG should undertake
    a. Also review discussion of June 4, 2020 below.
4. Seek consensus on the next task for the WG
5. Initial planning for doing that task
6. AOB

Item 1b.

[Tom] send an email to refeds focusing on federation operators - you should have a look at consultation because you are a big stakeholder! Send on ~Aug 11, one month before.

Item 3.
Security contact freshness by email: how to ensure that recipients already know to trust the sender? Experience at KIT, some grid infrastructures. Still, some response is better than not having a contact freshness cycle. Federation operators should be in a good position with their members for doing this. How to make the email not look like spam. Digital signature. Context setting, ie, other communication letting folks know that it will happen, what it will look like, etc. Needs to be a periodic process. So, how do we get fed ops to do this? ...

Sirtfi v2: Note that adoption of IR Handbook procedure is nearly the same as v2, ie, includes obligation to notify. What should v2 really be? Is it too soon, given that the community level of practice is so far behind where we need them to be? Also, not a great time to change what

"Sirtfi" means while InCommon (and maybe others) consider making Sirtfi compliance a Baseline Expectation.

Consider asking current operators of Sirtfi compliant entities what feedback they may have about v1 (not ask those who may feel forced by Baseline). Perhaps the WG creates a survey and asks Fed Ops to ask their members to take. Survey to be targeted at everyone, with a question about whether they've adopted Sirtfi.

[Tom] will draft an initial survey.

IETF Security Events: [Alan] volunteered to read through the docs and see what he thinks. By next meeting.