Current/Recent Tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who</th>
<th>What</th>
<th>When</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Romain</td>
<td>Add Act-Inform diagram to the eduGAIN security wiki when it gets created</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Older tasks are at the bottom of running Sirtfi call notes document.

February 11, 2021

Attending: Tom B., Alan B., Uros S., Shannon R, David G, Dave K


Agenda:
1. Alan’s update to the survey
2. Finalize survey
3. Survey release logistics
4. AOB

Survey:
- Alan showed the WG his approach to question 4, which is the meatiest question in the survey. Members basically thought it was a good step in the right direction.
- Members discussed whether or how to also include a way for respondents to indicate that some requirements were unclear to them rather than just whether or not they have a given capability, eg, unclear which systems are in scope or what cycle times should apply to activities like patching.
- Alan will revise the survey once more to present at our next meeting, when hopefully a larger group will attend and provide consensus.

Logistics:
- Ask Nicole to ask FOs if any would like to send the survey to their members, perhaps in support of an outreach effort they’d like to undertake in any case. Also ask for her thoughts generally about delivering the survey or its contents.
- For those FOs who do not wish to send the survey themselves, ask their permission for the WG to send the survey to their members’ entities directly.
- Unresolved question: should we only send the survey to federation members whose FOs have given their permission, or should we just give them a period of time in which to tell us “No”, otherwise we’ll proceed?
- Carefully craft the cover email and choose senders so that the message can be validated as legitimate, reduce concerns it might be a phish or unwise to reveal any info about security preparedness to an unknown outsider. Eg, include links to Sirti website and WG wiki; send from Tom (as chair) or Nicole, whose addresses can be matched up against the website and/or wiki; ensure it is digitally signed.
- We can anticipate that FOs whose members receive the survey will get questions about whether the survey is legit. Hence, we should try to coordinate with them so they’re prepared for that. Also, the text for invitations sent from the WG should tell recipients to send their questions about the survey to [a list to be established for this purpose].
- Consider sending the draft survey to the sirtfi list to ask folks to give feedback for a final round of polishing.
- Address the survey invitation to entity technical, support, or admin contact, not to the security contact. We’ve learned that some security contacts expect only incident related messages to be received there. We’ll need a little scripting to pick from among the non-security contacts when creating a distribution list.