

Sirtfi WG google folder:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/13EhgPxzLy4U6FMP_cVDalbqju40hOhUR

December 2, 2021

Attending: Tom B, Pal A, Sven G, David G, Hannah S, Romain W, Daniel K, Daniela P, David C, Alan B, Dave K

Regrets: Mario R

Agenda:

1. Review suggested edits in the [Sirtfi v2 draft text](#).
2. Identify tasks needed to address items in the [Todo List for v2](#), beyond changes to the Sirtfi v2 draft text.
 - a. Especially, consider how to transition to a v2 that requires notification.
3. AOB

WG members reviewed suggested edits to the [Sirtfi v2 draft text](#). Changes to the top matter, Introduction, and the introductory paragraphs in the Normative Assertions section were somewhat revised and then accepted. We left undecided whether or not to include a list of authors as v1 does, but the group leaned towards omitting an authorship list. This is in line with other REFEDS standards documents, and avoids the difficulty of determining who should be counted as an author.

Discussion then focused on Participant Responsibilities. The group arrived at a consensus that this section should convey that participant organisations are responsible for notifying users that their access to services may be modified in the context of managing a security incident. Further, that this notification may be done by the participant directly in various ways (egs: AUP accepted in user on-boarding workflow, Terms of Service published on website) or indirectly by transferring that obligation to another party via contract, eg, when a commercial service provider (as participant) has been contracted by an organisation to operate a service the organisation provides to its users. Hannah accepted the task of expressing this consensus in the PR section of the text.