

Sirtfi WG google folder:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1_4zo_qJdqz1ugZD9MfPUKt_OID6elHVr

February 10, 2022

Attending: Dave K, Tom B, Heather F, Pål A, Sven G, Alan B, Nicole H (first 30 min), Mario R, Davide V (last 30 min)

Regrets:

Agenda:

1. Consider how to transition to a v2 that requires notification
2. Review edits to the [Sirtfi v2 draft text](#)
 - a. Especially the addition of the “Sirtfi Identity Assurance Certification Description for Federation Operators” section, which replaces the [separate doc that’s currently linked on the sirtfi web site](#).
3. Review 3 new items on the [Todo List for v2](#).
4. AOB

Members focused the entire time on the transition discussion. We’ll take up agenda items 2 and 3 next time.

Transition discussion:

It’s clearly a v2 since the numbering has changed. If the revision was just adding notification, maybe a minor rev.

Add instructions in the Sirtfi Identity Assurance Certification Description for Federation Operators section: if they meet v2, also add the v1 tag to entity metadata since v1 requirements are met with v2 criteria.

Do not do sirtfi + notify tags as an alternative to v1 vs v2 tags.

Let sirtfi contacts and edugain steering know that there will be a change. Ask edugain steering to put in their roadmap to assist with eventual deprecation of v1. Or not - see below.

Deprecation process ideas. Not clear that we *should* deprecate v1. Leave that for individual federations or SPs to decide for themselves. One day perhaps a global Baseline will be the best way to decide what’s needed and if or when to deprecate. REFEDS as currently constituted can’t make it happen in any case.

Should there be a deprecation roadmap? Perhaps not - since we can't make it happen, we shouldn't set expectations that v1 will go away. Instead, strongly urge everyone that new implementations should target v2 and existing ones should add notification to their IR procedures (add this as an element of communication to sirtfi contacts and edugain steering, among any other ways we can think of to communicate this).

Can we just say that at some date "sirtfi" means v2? No. There's no change management process that relying parties can trust to back up this wishful statement. Maybe someday we'll have a global Baseline - only something like that can make a deterministic change happen.

Should the WG make clear that sirtfi v2 = sirtfi v1 + notify? Yes. See above for amending text in the Sirtfi Identity Assurance Certification Description for Federation Operators section.

Guidance docs are probably the best place the WG can help federations and organisations make the transition.