Sirtfi WG google folder:
https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1_4zo_qJdqv1ugZD9MfPUKt_OID6elHvR

May 5, 2022
Attending: Dave K, Pal A, Alan B, Mario R, Romain W
Regrets: Tom B, David G

Agenda:
1. Review and consider responses to Consultation feedback
   a. Keep notes in this doc - when things are finalised Tom will update the Consultation wiki page with our final responses.
   b. Note comments and suggested changes in the Sirtfi v2 draft text or in appropriate guidance docs. Don’t worry about clobbering the text mistakenly - there is a “Consultation draft” named version in the version history.
2. Review and suggest changes to guidance docs, especially by going through the To Do Lists for Guidance Docs. Make updates to the To Do Lists as appropriate.
3. AOB

Actions:

We reviewed the Consultation Feedback. Our Comments on feedback:
1: We need to clarify within the specification (rather than rely on supporting doc)
2: We agree on the usefulness of a change log (v2 vs v1). Either a separate document or an Appendix (An “I am doing v1, what do I need to add/do to do v2” section ) - We acknowledge this is summarised in the overview section in https://wiki.refeds.org/display/CON/Consultation%3A+Sirtfi+v2 but we’d recommend to create a short additional, separate document (very dry, not detailed - no entering the wordings ).
3. Valid point, needs fixing
4. We think SIRTv2 is more general than just eduGAIN. It is a REFEDS spec. The eduGAIN Security Procedures handbook could imply what suggested (and federation procedures) - We recommend Sven’s participation to the next call of this SIRTFI WG to directly discuss with him.

We then worked on the V2 draft text (point 1.b of today’s agenda - implementing the textual changes), we have cut&pasted a bit of text taken from the https://wiki.refeds.org/display/CON/Consultation%3A+Sirtfi+v2?preview=/100270114/100270116/Coexistence%20of%20Sirtfi%20v1%20and%20v2.pdf document and added to the Sirtfi v2 draft text, section “Syntax”:

*Because compliance with Sirtfi v2 Assertions implies compliance with the assertions of Sirtfi v1 [SIRTFlv1], an entity’s registrar SHALL ensure that the Sirtfi v1 Attribute is also included in the entity’s metadata when the Sirtfi v2 Attribute is present. This avoids some metadata processing complexity by relying parties.*
Point 2. in the agenda:(to do list): We reviewed the “Guide for fed participants” doc: corrected text in section “Step1: self assessment” ; Section “Step 2: Add security contact details”: we think the deleted section should be put back in. ; Step 3: added https; Section 4: added “and also follow the procedures of any federations to which your organisation belongs”