Sirtfi Call July 12th 2017, 16:00 CEST

Doodle: http://doodle.com/poll/76ym84wn8t7xfk64
Attendees: Nick, Scott, Hannah, Joe, Tangui, David, Doug Pearson, Alan, Tom
Apologies: (Nick will have to leave half way through the hour)

Agenda:
- Survey impacts (Survey: GN4 Sirtfi Interview Survey Report)
    - Priority should be on adoption
    - Not yet time to pursue a version 2
    - Sirtfi needs to be complemented with compliance checking - including tools provided by GÉANT
    - Need a way of flagging
    - Incommon developing a processto flag bad behaviour (possibly good behaviour in future)
        - Time scales in this process likely to take longer than an SP can tolerate before it has to take its own action. Will still be an effort required from SPs. Scott interested in a more collaborative effort for SPs to work together to track these kinds of issues.
        - NIH has a collaborative process to track things like IdPs that are supposed to be Sirtfi compliant, but are not. Mark them as such, not show them in discovery, prevent them from using the proxy for the VO.
        - LIGO waiting for the infrastructure / support from InCommon before asserting that SPs want Sirtfi
        - Federations operate on time scales that are longer than what SPs can tolerate
        - Spectrum of federation maturity is very wide - for projects that need to collaborate across many federations, will have to figure out how to collaborate within federations that may be less mature.
        - Incommon potentially would collaborate in a non-federation metadata based database of sirtfi compliance entities (Nick)
        - Some delegation of metadata update to end entities (not FedOps)
        - May need 2 separate services, 1 for asserting and 1 for flagging behaviour
- Proposed Workplan (input welcome!)
  https://docs.google.com/document/d/1bUT2QowtRKyPCpAd6W6u7_kcp98SeflOgCXmvO4Gtrk/edit?usp=sharing
    - CTSC and Jim Basney might be able to share information on how they run tabletop exercises
    - Need a bigger think about communication channels, want to avoid ad hoc decisions on communication channels (box for storing data)

- REN-ISAC working on similar issues with information sharing and communication
- Priorities
- Endorsements? SP Involvement
    - Generally supported as a good idea
    - Be careful of dates
- Meetups
    - TechEx 2017
    - Any other?

ACTIONS
- H to send a request for endorsements
- H to speak with Von and Jim
- H to plan meetups at NSF Summit & TechEx
- More talk needed on communication channels & non-metadata Sirtfi assertion