

# 1 Pseudonymous Authorization Resource

## 2 Access Entity Category

3

4 **Overview** 2

5 **1. Definition** 2

6 **2. Syntax** 3

7 **3. Semantics** 3

8 **4. Attribute Bundle** 3

9 **5. Service Provider Requirements** 6

10 **6. Identity Provider Requirements** 7

11 **7. References** 8

12 **Annex I - Implementation Guidance** 10

13 Relationship to other Resource Access Entity Categories 10

14 For Service Providers 10

15 For Identity Providers 10

16 Identity Provider Configuration 10

17 </AttributeFilterPolicy> 12

18 **Annex II - Deprecated Pseudonymous Targeted Identifiers** 12

19 eduPersonTargetedID 12

20 NameID 12

21

22

## 23 Overview

24 All Identity Providers and Service Providers are invited to use the Pseudonymous Authorization  
25 Resource Access Entity Category (RAEC) to manage the release of attributes to Service  
26 Providers meeting the requirements described below.

27 The keywords “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”,  
28 “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be  
29 interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

30 This definition is written in compliance with the Entity Category SAML Entity Metadata Attribute  
31 Types specification [EntityCatTypes]; this specification may be extended to reference other  
32 protocol-specific formulations as circumstances warrant.

## 33 1. Definition

34 Candidates for the Pseudonymous Authorization RAEC are Service Providers that grant service  
35 access based on proof of successful authentication, which make authorization decisions based  
36 on *affiliation* and *entitlement*, and which offer personalization based on a pseudonymous user  
37 identifier and which do not require any other user attributes. These service providers do not  
38 qualify for the REFEDS Research and Scholarship Entity Category [R&S].

39 Example Service Providers may include (but are not limited to) services that support research  
40 and scholarship such as licensed e-resource providers, retailers, vendors, platform providers to  
41 support access to online content, inter-library loan services, services providing access to  
42 research data sets, and collaborative tools and services such as wikis, project, and grant  
43 management tools that require some personal information about users to work effectively.

44 For the purposes of this document, a *user attribute* is an attribute that reveals or may reveal a  
45 person’s identity, personal characteristics, contact information, or affiliation/role/access  
46 authorization.

47 For the purposes of this document, *affiliation* refers to the organizational association between  
48 the user and their home institution, by means of employment, membership, enrollment in an  
49 educational program, etc. *Entitlement* means the right of the user to access a given resource at  
50 the Service Provider by meeting a set of criteria that have been agreed between a given IdP  
51 and a given SP, for example by means of, but not limited to, a contractual arrangement.  
52 Entitlements are typically evaluated by mapping a set of user attributes against the terms of the  
53 agreement. In the federated authentication context, entitlements may be evaluated on the IdP  
54 side, in which case the IdP performs the attribute mapping and asserts the result by passing an  
55 agreed entitlement attribute with an agreed value to the SP, or they may be evaluated on the SP  
56 side, in which case it is necessary for the IdP to pass all necessary attributes for evaluation of  
57 the entitlement to the SP during the authentication transaction.

58 N.B. This specification relates only to personal data passed between the IdP and the SP and  
59 does not relate to any personal data requested directly from the end-user or their browser,  
60 potentially via a consent flow.

61 N.B. This specification details the default configuration and does not restrict additional entity  
62 categories or attributes to be requested or exchanged as a result of bilateral arrangements

## 63 2. Syntax

64 The following URI is used as the attribute value for the Entity Category and Entity Category  
65 Support attribute:

66 <https://example.org/category/pseudonymous>

## 67 3. Semantics

68 By asserting that it is a member of this Entity Category, a Service Provider claims that it will not  
69 use attributes for purposes that fall outside of the service definition as presented at the time of  
70 registration to its users and referred to in metadata.

71 Identity Providers may indicate support for Service Providers in this category by asserting the  
72 Entity Category Support Attribute with the above value; self-assertion is the typical approach  
73 used.

74 By asserting this attribute, Identity Providers are indicating that they will release attributes to  
75 Service Providers which also assert this category as outlined in the “Service Provider  
76 Requirements” section below either by default or only for Service Providers they have an  
77 agreement with. They may need to consult with other departments within their organization to  
78 verify the relationship with the Service Provider.

## 79 4. Attribute Bundle

80 The mechanism by which this entity category provides for consistent attribute release is through  
81 the definition of a set of commonly supported and consumed attributes typically required for  
82 effective use of personalizable services that need the affiliation and entitlement of the user to be  
83 verified. The attributes chosen represent a privacy baseline such that further minimization  
84 achieves no particular benefit. Thus, the minimal disclosure principle is designed into this  
85 category.

86 The use of the <md:RequestedAttribute> mechanism supported by SAML metadata is outside  
87 the scope of this category, and may co-exist with it in deployments as desired, subject to this  
88 specification’s requirements being met.

89 The Pseudonymous Authorization attribute bundle consists (abstractly) of the following required  
 90 data elements:

91 *Required:*

- 92 • *Organizational identifier*
- 93 • *Entitlement data*
- 94 • *Pseudonymous pairwise user identifier*

95 *Optional:*

- 96 • *Affiliation type (for reporting purposes)*
- 97 • *Metrics code (for reporting purposes)*

98 Where *Organization* SHOULD be one of the following, in order of preference:

Preference order	Attribute	Example values	Comments
1	eduPersonScopedAffiliation	member@example.org	Organization is indicated by the right-hand side of eduPersonScopedAffiliation.  This right-hand side syntax of eduPersonScopedAffiliation intentionally matches that used for the right-hand side values for eduPersonPrincipalName. The "scope" portion MUST be the administrative domain to which the affiliation applies.
2	eduPersonOrgDN	ou=Potions,o=Hogwarts,dc=hsw,dc=wiz	The distinguished name (DN) of the directory entry representing the institution with which the person is associated.
3	schacHomeOrganization	example.edu	Specifies a person's home organization using the domain name of the organization.  Issuers of schacHomeOrganization attribute values via SAML are strongly encouraged to publish matching shibmd:Scope elements as part of their IDP's SAML metadata.

100 Note that the Organization concept explicitly specifically indicates the affiliation of the user  
 101 independently of the IdP entity ID. With the use of a hub or consortia-based IdP, IdP entity ID  
 102 does not necessarily represent the organization of the user.

103 Where *entitlement data* SHOULD be one of the following, in order of preference:

Preference order	Attribute	Example values	Comments
1	eduPersonEntitlement	urn:mace:dir:entitlement:common-lib-terms	Applies when entitlements are evaluated on the IdP side
2	isMemberOf	https://fed.example.org/sig-mobile-wg	Applies when the SP uses group membership/affiliation to determine service entitlement
3	memberOf	XBLU-RXS-BL	Applies when the SP uses group membership/affiliation to determine service entitlement

104

105 Note: The IdP SHOULD take care to return only entitlement data which is relevant to the  
 106 specific SP to avoid the potential for deanonymization.

107 Where a *pairwise user identifier* is a long-lived, non-reassignable, uni-directional identifier  
 108 defined as a SAML pairwise subject identifier [SAML2SubjId]. At the time of this writing, other  
 109 deprecated identifiers are still in common use; see Annex II for more information. Service  
 110 Providers SHOULD consider supporting these legacy identifiers until broad adoption of the new  
 111 profile has taken place. Identity Providers are advised to move to the new pairwise identifiers as  
 112 soon as practicable.

Preference order	Attribute	Example values	Comments
1	samlPairwiseID	<saml2:Attribute FriendlyName="samlPairwiseID"  Name="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:attribute:pairwise-id"  NameFormat="urn:oasis:nam	

		<pre> es:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname- format:uri"  &gt;  &lt;saml2:AttributeValue&gt;KRB ODPWQQDMG2PL3CCDIJ4A576XR LYBX@example.org&lt;/saml2:A ttributeValue&gt;  &lt;/saml2:Attribute&gt; </pre>	
--	--	---	--

113

114 Where *affiliation type* SHOULD be:

Preference order	Attribute	Example values	Comments
1	eduPersonScopedAffiliation	member@example.org	<p>Affiliation type is indicated by the left-hand side of eduPersonScopedAffiliation</p> <p>The left component is one of the values from the eduPersonAffiliation controlled vocabulary, which specifies the person's relationship(s) to the institution in broad categories</p>

115

116 And where *metrics code* SHOULD be a mutually agreed attribute and value upon code to allow  
117 for granular usage reporting, cost reallocation, targeted invoicing, etc., between an SP and IdP.

118 "Order of preference" in the above tables refers both to the choice the IdP SHOULD make about  
119 which attributes to send in case they have multiple available to choose from, and to the order in  
120 which the SP SHOULD use the attributes in case they receive multiple from the IdP.

121 Many of the above attributes are defined or referenced in the [eduPerson] specification or in the  
122 [SCHAC] specification. The specific naming and format of these attributes is guided by the  
123 protocol in use. For SAML 2.0 the [SAML2Int] profile MUST be used. This specification may be  
124 extended to reference other protocol-specific formulations as circumstances warrant.

## 125 5. Service Provider Requirements

126 Service Providers SHOULD limit their data requirements to the bundle of attributes defined in  
127 Section 4, but MAY negotiate for additional data in a bilateral agreement as required via  
128 mechanisms that are outside the scope of this specification.

129 Service Providers MUST commit to following the principles of the GEANT Data Protection Code  
130 of Conduct, and when supported by their federation assert this in metadata [DPCoCo].

131 The service provider MUST NOT assert the Authentication Only RAEC, Anonymous  
132 Authorization RAEC, or Research and Scholarship attribute release bundle entity categories if it  
133 asserts this entity category, and the SP MUST NOT request any of the attributes described in  
134 those entity categories from the IdP through other mechanisms unless bilateral arrangements  
135 are in place.

136 Service Providers are strongly encouraged to support all of the specified alternatives for the  
137 *pairwise user identifier* attribute described in Section 4 to maximize interoperability. Failure to do  
138 so will result in problems even when working exclusively with Identity Providers that claim  
139 support for the category.

140 A Service Provider that conforms to the Pseudonymous Authorization Entity Category would  
141 exhibit the following entity attribute in SAML metadata:

142 **An entity attribute for SPs that conform to the Pseudonymous Authorization Entity**  
143 **Category:**

```
<mdattr:EntityAttributes xmlns:mdattr="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:metadata:attribute">  
  <saml:Attribute  
    xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion"  
    NameFormat="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format:uri"  
    Name="http://macedir.org/entity-category">  
    <saml:AttributeValue>http://refeds.org/category/pseudonymous-  
authorization</saml:AttributeValue>  
  </saml:Attribute>  
</mdattr:EntityAttributes>
```

## 144 6. Identity Provider Requirements

145 By asserting this attribute, Identity Providers are indicating that they are able to support this  
146 entity category. They MAY release the attribute bundle defined in section 4 to all Service  
147 Providers which assert this category by default, or only for Service Providers which assert the  
148 entity category and with which they have an agreement.

149 **An entity attribute for IdPs that support the Pseudonymous Authorization Entity**  
150 **Category:**

```
<mdattr:EntityAttributes
  xmlns:mdattr="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:metadata:attribute">
  <saml:Attribute
    xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion"
    NameFormat="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format:uri"
    Name="http://macedir.org/entity-category-support">
    <saml:AttributeValue>
      http://example.org/category/pseudonymous-authorization
    </saml:AttributeValue>
  </saml:Attribute>
</mdattr:EntityAttributes>
```

151

## 152 7. References

153 [AnonRAEC] "Anonymous Authorization Entity Category" - citation TBD

154 [PseudRAEC] "Pseudonymous Authorization Entity Category" - citation TBD

155 [R&S] "Research and Scholarship Entity Category," REFEDS,

156 <https://refeds.org/category/research-and-scholarship>.

157 [eduPerson] "eduPerson," REFEDS, <https://refeds.org/eduperson>.

158 [DPCoCo] "Data Protection Code of Conduct Home," GEANT,

159 <https://wiki.refeds.org/display/CODE/Data+Protection+Code+of+Conduct+Home>.

160 [EntityCatTypes] Young, I., Ed., Johansson, L., and S. Cantor, "The Entity Category Security

161 Assertion Markup Language (SAML) Attribute Types", RFC 8409, DOI 10.17487/RFC8409,

162 August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8409>>.

163 [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14,

164 RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

165

166 [SAML2Int] "SAML V2.0 Deployment Profile for Federation Interoperability," Kantara Initiative, 9

167 December 2019, <https://kantarainitiative.github.io/SAMLprofiles/saml2int.html>.

168 [SAML2SubjId] "SAML V2.0 Subject Identifier Attributes Profile Version 1.0," OASIS, 19 January

169 2019, <https://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml-subject-id-attr/v1.0/cs01/saml-subject-id-attr->

170 [v1.0-cs01.html](https://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml-subject-id-attr/v1.0/cs01/saml-subject-id-attr-v1.0-cs01.html).

171 [SCHAC] "Schema for ACademia," REFEDS, <https://wiki.refeds.org/display/STAN/SCHAC>.





173

## 174 Annex I - Implementation Guidance

### 175 Relationship to other Resource Access Entity Categories

#### 176 For Service Providers

177 By asserting participation in a Resource Access Entity Category, a service provider (SP) is  
178 signaling to identity providers its minimally acceptable (required?) user attribute bundle to  
179 successfully grant the user access. Particularly when publishing the SP's SAML metadata in a  
180 federation, each unique SP SAML entity SHOULD assert at most one Resource Access Entity  
181 Category. For example, an SP entity asserting Authentication Only category SHOULD NOT  
182 simultaneously assert the Pseudonymous Authorization category. Doing so sends conflicting  
183 messages.

184

185 If a service needs to accommodate different resource access schemes due to contractual  
186 differences, the configuration SHOULD be handled in one of the following ways:

187

- 188 a. Express the difference in a separate entity metadata with a different entity ID;
- 189 b. Negotiate and configure the attribute release agreement bi-laterally, outside the scope of  
190 the Resource Access Entity Categories.

#### 191 For Identity Providers

192 An Identity Provider (IdP) SHOULD simultaneously support all Resource Access entity  
193 categories.

### 194 Identity Provider Configuration

195 To properly support the Pseudonymous Authorization Resource Access category, in addition to  
196 releasing those attributes permitted by the Pseudonymous Authorization category, an Identity  
197 Provider (IdP) MUST take care to block any user attribute not permitted by the Pseudonymous  
198 Authorization category from being released to an SP asserting this category unless bilateral  
199 arrangements are in place.

200

201 *A user attribute* is an attribute that reveals or may reveal a person's identity, personal  
202 characteristics, contact information, or affiliation/role/access authorization.

203

204 Most of the attributes permitted in the Pseudonymous Authorization category are multi-valued  
205 attributes. When configuring release, an IdP SHOULD only release values applicable to the SP  
206 the user is accessing. Further, configuring attribute release may require an underlying contract

207 between the IdP organization and the SP organization. To accommodate these nuances, an IdP  
208 may adopt one of the following configuration strategies:

- 209
- 210 a. Prepare SP-specific attribute release rules, using the Pseudonymous Authorization
- 211 category as a template.
- 212 b. Create a release rule for the Pseudonymous Authorization category; use regular
- 213 expression within the rule to filter values by SP.
- 214

215 The following example illustrates a possible Pseudonymous Authorization category template for  
216 the Shibboleth Identity Provider's attribute filter policy (attribute-filter.xml). This template permits  
217 the release of attributes defined in this category to the named SP entity while explicitly blocks  
218 other user attribute released by default from being released:

```
219  
220 <AttributeFilterPolicy id="refedsPseudonymousCategoryTemplate">  
221   <PolicyRequirementRule xsi:type="Requester"  
222     value="https://sp.example.org"/>  
223  
224   <!-- In this example, the IdP by default releases email.  
225     This configuration overrides those defaults and blocks  
226     their release. -->  
227   <AttributeRule attributeID="mail">  
228     <DenyValueRule xsi:type="ANY"/>  
229   </AttributeRule>  
230 <!-- Release attributes defined in the Pseudonymous Authorization  
231     category -->  
232   <AttributeRule attributeID="samlPairwiseID">  
233     <PermitValueRule xsi:type="ANY"/>  
234   </AttributeRule>  
235   <AttributeRule attributeID="eduPersonScopedAffiliation">  
236     <PermitValueRule xsi:type="ANY"/>  
237   </AttributeRule>  
238   <AttributeRule attributeID="eduPersonOrgDN">  
239     <PermitValueRule xsi:type="ANY"/>  
240   </AttributeRule>  
241  
242   <!-- Release entitlement values defined by MACE-DIR as well as those  
243     specific to example.org's demo service -->  
244   <AttributeRule attributeID="eduPersonEntitlement">  
245     <PermitValueRule xsi:type="OR">  
246       <Rule xsi:type="ValueRegex"  
247         regex="urn:mace:example.org:demoservice:.*$" />
```

```
248     <Rule xsi:type="ValueRegex"
249           regex="^urn:mace:dir:entitlement:.*$" />
250     </PermitValueRule>
251 </AttributeRule>
252 </AttributeFilterPolicy>
```

## 253 Annex II - Deprecated Pseudonymous Targeted 254 Identifiers

255 This section documents various pseudonymous, targeted identifiers that are still in common use  
256 today. While we encourage organizations to transition away from these as much as possible, we  
257 recognize they may still need to be used for the purposes of sharing a pseudonymous identifier  
258 during a federated authentication workflow.

### 259 eduPersonTargetedID

260 From the eduPerson (202001) specification:

261 *NOTE: eduPersonTargetedID is DEPRECATED and will be marked as obsolete in a future*  
262 *version of this specification. Its equivalent definition in SAML 2.0 has been replaced by a new*  
263 *specification for standard Subject Identifier attributes [[https://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml-](https://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml-subject-id-attr/v1.0/saml-subject-id-attr-v1.0.html)*  
264 *[subject-id-attr/v1.0/saml-subject-id-attr-v1.0.html](https://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml-subject-id-attr/v1.0/saml-subject-id-attr-v1.0.html)], one of which*  
265 *("urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:attribute:pairwise-id") is a direct replacement for this identifier with a*  
266 *simpler syntax and safer comparison rules. Existing use of this attribute in SAML 1.1 or SAML*  
267 *2.0 should be phased out in favor of the new Subject Identifier attributes."*

### 268 NameID

269 This Attribute is a direct replacement for the `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-`  
270 `format:persistent` NameID Format defined in SAML [SAML2SubjId]. There are obvious  
271 syntactic differences, in a deliberate attempt at simplification. The XML syntax and data "triple"  
272 are replaced with a simpler id/scope pair encoded into a string, and the awkward use of a pair of  
273 URIs to qualify the value is replaced with a simpler, shorter, and more flexible approach that  
274 more easily emulates the email address syntax required by many applications, and decouples  
275 identifier scoping from SAML entity naming.

276