Review of RAF second consultation comments: https://docs.google.com/document/d/15v65wJvRwTSQKViep_gGuEvxLJ3ULbaOX5o9eLtsyBl/edit#

- #1 clarify ePPN reassignment: re-designed the unique and ePPN re-assignment section (as per discussion on mailing list)
  - David Hübner had followed up that, for symmetry, also SAML2 PersistentID should be listed as an identifier covered by unique.
  - Decided to adopt that proposal
  - Couldn't find a normative statement that SAML2 PersistentID must not be re-assigned so returned "no re-assignment" to the required properties of unique.
  - Add a requirement below the first table in 2.1 that a CSP must not assert an identifier if the requirement does not fulfill the requirements of unique
- #2 and #8 examples on ID proofing:
  - Adopted the examples proposed in the mailing list
- #9: Kantara SAC behind "PII wall"
  - Kantara has now removed the PII wall
- #7 abstract is vague: added a sentence
- Other comments were covered in the previous call

Review or SFA consultation comments: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ZjpyYWWZhqbT6lzX9Vug9Whqy9YeK29e1FbjL5VM

- #1 what are NIST guidelines: moved NIST terms to a new Appendix A
- #2 examples on character pools: added Appendix B on secret bases
- #3 do users or CSP select the memorised secrets: clarified in Appendix A
- #4 make the items a numbered list: done

- Decide to submit the RAF and SFA to REFEDS SC for adoption
- Mikael will prepare resolutions to the RAF consultation page and Michal to the SFA consultation page
- Next call on Monday 27th August at 15:30 CEST/8:30 CDT, focusing on the supplementary documentation