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Notes

- SFA BCP for Active Directory
  - Draft: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1VRIHWTWvB1CU0yqzHnwDr_5FQ8oXEW1cENI90Fl8PD0/edit
  - Is this really a Best Current Practice or rather a minimal requirements specification to meet the requirements of SFA? Many of the requirements are actually less than a best practice? Consider renaming (e.g. SFA baseline requirements)
  - AD would be used as the verifier (i.e. the component that verifies passwords) so other applications relying on AD are out of scope
  - Should the document cover also the authentication protocol to connect to the AD (the legacy protocols like NTLM that are these days deemed insecure)?
  - “Passwords in transit must be protected by TLS” only when plaintext passwords are passed (normal Windows login works differently).
  - strategy for managing the risk of compromised/blacklisted passwords? (e.g. password filtering)
  - Remove the second table on guidelines (applications are out of scope for the document).
  - generally the meeting was OK with the structure
  - before the next call: update the document based on the feedback and create a similar document OpenLDAP.

- RAF open issues
  - are SFA and MFA incremental?
    - REFEDS MFA is mostly an interoperability profile with little qualitative requirements to the MFA but SFA has also qualitative requirements for the tokens (currently passwords)
    - therefore SFA and MFA are not comparable and cannot be defined to be incremental
  - floor value for ID vetting
    - Pål suggests to have a new ID proofing value to indicate self-asserted ID with e-mail handshake and Captcha. The value would be useful for homeless IdPs
    - the value would be weaker than verified and assumed in the hierarchy and table
    - Pål will write a draft of the text
  - extend ePA-1m to cover eduPersonPrimaryAffiliation as well
    - ePPA added for consistency
  - section 3 on conformance criteria: Federation metadata is accurate, complete and includes [...] MDUI information? What MDUI information exactly?
    - refined the criteria: at least one of the following contacts: admin, technical, support, security.
    - No MDUI information requires for RAF as it serves usability whereas RAF focuses on assurance

- goal is still to expose all 4 documents to a public consultation together: RAF, SFA, BCP for AD and BCP for OpenLDAP

- next call: 4 Dec 15:00 CET (to avoid clash with Sirtfi call)