Notes

- Review of RAF second consultation comments  
  - #1 clarify ePPN reassignment: re-designed the unique and ePPN re-assignment section (as per discussion on mailing list)
    - David Hübner had followed up that, for symmetry, also SAML2 PersistentID should be listed as an identifier covered by unique.
      - Decided to adopt that proposal
    - Couldn’t find a normative statement that SAML2 PersistentID must not be re-assigned so returned “no re-assignment” to the required properties of unique.
    - Add a requirement below the first table in 2.1 that a CSP must not assert an identifier if the requirement does not fulfill the requirements of unique
  - #2 and #8 examples on ID proofing:
    - adopted the examples proposed in the mailing list
  - #9: Kantara SAC behind “PII wall”
    - Kantara has now removed the PII wall
  - #7 abstract is vague: added a sentence
  - other comments were covered in the previous call

- Review or SFA consultation comments  
  - #1 what are NIST guidelines: moved NIST terms to a new Appendix A
  - #2 examples on character pools: added Appendix B on secret bases
  - #3 do users or CSP select the memorised secrets: clarified in Appendix A
  - #4 make the items a numbered list: done

- decide to submit the RAF and SFA to REFEDS SC for adoption
- Mikael will prepare resolutions to the RAF consultation page and Michal to the SFA consultation page
- Next call on Monday 27th August at 15:30 CEST/8:30 CDT, focusing on the supplementary documentation