# infoshare-2020-06-08 notes

#### Notes from Code of Conduct InfoShare

#### 34 attendees

slides

# Q&A:

#### GDPR is a law - what does the CoCo add?

• GDPR gives extra powers to an approved code of conduct (see slide 5)

#### Monitoring Body - what should it do and can GÉANT do it?

- a 3-tier model has been planned for GEANT CoCo:
  - Level 1: CoCo monitor that makes automated technical checks to eduGAIN metadata
  - Level 2: Regular self assessments carried out by the SP administrators, potentially with an online tool
  - Level 3: Ability to lodge a complaint to the monitoring body
- Outcome of a monitoring failure would be loss of the CoCo tag

#### What would be the timescale?

- We can only give estimates
- For "option 1": Non-international could be submitted in Autumn 2020. Likely to take a year or so to pass through Dutch DPA and EDPB.
- For "option 2": International transfers could take a few years, we don't know when EDPB will publish the guidelines for international transfers.

#### What about the non-EU if we go ahead with "option 1"?

- Can we have a best practice for non-EU and approved "official" for EU?
- Yes but only approved codes provide appropriate safeguards for international transfers

#### Can we put pressure to move faster?

• Unlikely unless this comes from the EC.

## Summary of the prefered options, from people that expressed their opinion during the infoshare:

- Option 1 was preferred one for four persons.
- On the question by Nicole if people felt that "option 1" should not be taken, nobody came forward.

### Comments from the chat:

10:36:30

trust to this attachment

10:22:42	From ***: Question: any idea when those guidelines will become available ?	
10:23:56	From ***: Did your answer got an answer?	
10:24:16	From *** : kind of :)	
10:25:14	From *** : I think a CoCo *with* a blessing from the official authorities would really add some value. So stopping is not a good idea IMO.	
10:25:30	From ***: I agree with *** on this	
10:25:54	From *** : +1	
10:26:16 From ***: Since a lot of work has already been done and it's unclear when those guidelines would become available, I would be in favour of option 1. It's really unfortunate for all non-EU SPs :(		
10:26:46	From ***: Option 3: 'publish the current CoCo t' =that is the updated version isn't it?	
10:26:56	From ***: I would also opt to proceed with the blessing of the official authorities. So option 1	
10:27:39	From ***: Option 2 could take long	
10:27:41	From ***: Question: any idea what a monitoring body should actually do? Is GEANT up for that task?	
10:31:09	From ***: thanks :)	
10:31:17 From ***: Also think Option 1 is the best. If there is a committment to update the new version as soon as guidelines etc. are ready, option 1 is not worse for Non-EU SPs than option 2		
10:35:03	From ***: Is there any direct effects of applying option 1 on non EU members?	

From \*\*\*: I guess independent entities (approved by the monitoring body) should be able to perform compliance audits, to give a level of

10:37:02	From ***: Could CoCo v1 and v2 co-exist for this interim period?
10:37:35	From ***: Was thinking about that too
10:41:49	From ***: UKf has a few Eps with code-of-conduct & there's no guarantee we'll have data adequacy agreement post-brexit
10:44:58	From ***: Thank you for the good presentation!
10:45:26	From ***: Excellent presentation - very clear, thanks !
10:45:37	From ***: Thanks all
10:45:37	From ***: Thanks Mikael and Nicole
10:45:39	From ***: Thank you, very interesting!
10:45:39	From ***: Thanks!
10:45:41	From ***: Thanks!
10:45:44	From ***: Thank you!
10:45:44	From ***: Thanks all